PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

E-Learning Technology Effectiveness in Teaching and Learning: Analyzing the Reliability and Validity of Instruments

To cite this article: Nik Alif Amri Nik Hashim et al 2020 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 993 012096

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



This content was downloaded from IP address 103.101.245.250 on 01/03/2021 at 07:29

E-Learning Technology Effectiveness in Teaching and Learning: Analyzing the Reliability and Validity of Instruments

¹Nik Alif Amri Nik Hashim, ¹Roslizawati Che Aziz, ²Shah Iskandar FahmieRamlee, ²Siti Afiqah Zainuddin, ²Eni Noreni Mohamed Zain, ¹Zaimatul Awang, ²Siti Rohana Mohamad&¹Abdullah MuhamedYusoff

¹Faculty of Hospitality, Tourism and Wellness, Universiti Malaysia Kelantan

²Faculty of Entrepreneurship and Business, Universiti Malaysia Kelantan

ABSTRACT: Over the years, the introduction of e-learning technology as been familiar among academicians, students, and even non-academicians in higher education institutions. E-learning is one of the learning tools in the education sector that greatly influences education, which emerged as a newmodern education paradigm and has successfully evolved around the world. However, limited attention has been paid to research on e-learning relative to the factors influencing the effectiveness of e-learning tools in the teaching and learning process. Therefore, using a quantitative approach, this study aims to review the reliability and validity of the applied instrumentsin assessing the factors influencing the effectiveness of e-learning tools in the teaching and learning ofentrepreneurship students' courses through a pilot study. Data involving 150samples from the questionnaires were analyzed using IBM SPSS version 24, while evaluations from experts were employed to check for content and face validity and reliability as well as he normality of data. Based on theresults, the normality of the data as well as the reliability of the measuring instruments in this study are proven. As such, the measuring instruments proposed in this study warrant further research.

Keywords: Technology; E-Learning; Effectiveness; Teaching & Learning; Higher Education.

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the years, the introduction of e-learning has been popular among academicians and students. It is a phrasedefined as the use of variouscategories of computer and electronic media, a fewof which the Internet and communication tools are employed for training in certain topics. Additionally, e-learning refers to a specific course delivered through the internet to a location other than the classroom where the lecturers teach. E-learning interactively allows students to communicate with their lecturers or among themselves inside or outside the classroom (Aziz et al, 2019; Clark, & Mayer, 2016).

There arevarious terms have been used to explaine-learning, such as the learning that goes online, by the internet, through distance education, computerized electronic learning, and internet learning(Al-Rahmi et al., 2018; Masoumi&Lindström, 2012). Briefly, e-learning uses telecommunication technology to disseminate information for training and educationalpurposes. The term "e-learning" is rapidly changing in terms of its purpose as opposed to its content and approaches. Hence, e-learning, such asopen distance learning, has become a household name. The same also goes for Web-Based Training (WBT), Computer Based Training (CBT), technology-based learning, and

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd

online learning (Arkorful&Abaidoo, 2014).

Even though technologies have grown faster in this new era of globalization (Hashim, Ramlee, Yusoff, Nawi, Awang, Zainuddin, Abdullah, Ahmad, Rahim, &Fatt, 2019; Hashim, Safri, Yusoff, Omar, Velayuthan, Hashim, Aziz, Awang, Ahmad, &Fatt, 2019; Hashim, Zulkiffli, Aziz, Nawi, Awang, Muhammad, &Yusoff, 2020), there are students and teachers who still do not know about e-learning as a modern way for teaching as well as a learning method (Goh et al., 2017; Lumadi, 2013). This shows that some students remainincognizant of e-learning effectiveness in the sense that they may find e-learning ineffectivebecause they were unable to interact face-to-face as they need to use modern tools in learning sessions (Diemer, Fernandez &Streepey, 2012). Numerous different variables have been introduced and applied by some researchers and marketers to understand the usefulness of e-learning tools in students' teaching and learning. Therefore, this study attempts to examine the reliability and validity of the measurement scale proposed in this study as well as the extent to whichthe instrumentsaffirmthe effectiveness of e-learning tools in teaching and learning among students through a pilot study. Accordingly, thekey priorities for ensuring that problems do not occur and disrupt the main research project are the reliability and validity of the instruments.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

2.1 Instrumentation and Measurement of Variables

The instrument used to collect the required data in this studyincludesquestionnaires. The questionnaires were used to interpret the abstract information needed into a set of specific responses that can be measured. The elements in the questionnaires were drawn up in line withthe objectives and questions addressed in this study. The questionnaires were divided into three parts, namely Part A, Part B, and Part C. Part Acovers the students'demographic information, whereasPart B includes questions on the independent variables, which are the motivational factors. Finally, Part C coverts the items of the dependent variable, which is the effectiveness of e-learning. All of the items included in the questionnaire would be measured using afive-point Likert Scale. Each scale has a minimum point(1) and a maximum point(5). While a point near "1" indicates a very strong attitude against the statement, the point near"5", however, indicates a very strong attitude towards the statement. As such, the scale is interpreted from 1= "very strongly disagree" to 5= "very strongly agree".

Section	Variable	No. of Items	Source
Α	Demographic	4	-
В	ICT Skills	6	Aziz, et al. (2019) Jethro, Grace, & Thomas (2012)
	Time Management	5	Aziz, et al. (2019)
	Resources	5	Aziz, et al. (2019)
	Learning Techniques	5	Aziz, et al. (2019) Ismail et al., (2013)
С	Effectiveness of E- learning Tools	5	Aziz, et al. (2019) Venkataraman & Sivakumar (2015)

Table 1: Research Instrumentation

2.2 Data Distribution

This study employed a quantitative approach whereby questionnaires were used to conduct the pilot study. The data from the questionnaires were collected viaself-administered questionnaire distribution and a normality test was performed to avoid statistical errors that may yield invalid output. Skewness and kurtosis tests were also conducted and the values showed positive scores; hence, the data were slightly skewed to the rightand had leptokurtic distributionas opposed to a normal distribution (Std. Error), with skewness and kurtosis values of 0.406 and 0.787, respectively. Additionally, the numbers were both within a ± 1.96 limit; thus, the distribution of all construct combinations is normal and this suggests that the departure from normality is not too extreme.

2.3 Data Analysis

Data analysis was conducted over the weekend in Kelantan. To answer the pilot test questions, a total of 150 entrepreneurship students' courses were acquired and IBM SPSS version 24 was used to analyze the data by calculating the Cronbach's alpha values. Table 4 shows the details of Cronbach's alpha score for each variable.

3. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK



Figure 1: Conceptual Framework

4. RESEARCH FINDINGS

4.1 Demographic Profile

Table 2 presents the respondents' demographic profile. Based on the table, there are about 39.3% female respondents and 60.7% male respondents. The majority of the respondents are Malay, representing 44% of the total population, while the least group includes other races with 2.7%. Most of the respondents are alsosingle(92%), while 8% of the respondents are married. The major age range of the respondents is 20-25 years old with81.3% and followed by the age group of 26-30 years old with 13.3%, while those over the age of 36 are the lowest, representing 1.3% of the population.

Variable	Frequency	Dorcontago (%)	
v ai lable	Frequency	Tercentage (70)	
Gender			
Male	91	60.7	
Female	59	39.3	
Race			
Malay	66	44	
Chinese	22	14.7	
Indian	12	8	
Sabahan	25	16.7	
Sarawakian	21	14	
Others	4	2.7	
Status			
Single	138	92	
Married	12	8	
Age			
20-25 years old	122	81.3	
26-30 years old	20	13.3	
31-35 years old	6	4	
36 years old and above	2	1.3	
Total	150	100%	

Table 2: Demographic Profile

4.2 Reliability and Validity

Prior to the actual process of data collection, reliability and validity tests were initially carried out in this study. To improve reliability, the researcher had applied four criteria. Firstly, all constructs were configured, and subsequently, the measurement levelswere improved.Next, several indicators were used, and finally, pilot studies were conducted. Following Hair, Celsi, Money, Samouel, and Page's (2015) rule of thumb (see Table3), a reliability value below 0.6 is deemed poor, while 0.70 is considered good, and a value that is more than 0.8 is deemed very good. Correspondingly, the items with the values fewer than 0.70 were removed from the questionnaires.

Table 3: Reliability	of Instrument
----------------------	---------------

Association Strength
Poor
Moderate
Good
Very Good
Excellent

Hair et al. (2015)

Variable	Number of Items	Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient	Strength of Association
Skills ofICT	6	0.881	Very Good
Time Management	5	0.856	Very Good
Resources	5	0.892	Very Good
Learning Techniques	5	0.890	Very Good
Effectiveness of E-learning Tools among Students	5	0.841	Very Good

Table 4: Internal Reliability of Each Questionnaire Section

To ensure that the scales' validity content is used, the researcher has taken somerequired precautions. As such, the researcher sought assistance from the academician and expertsin some universities to review the validity content. Table 4 shows the results of the pilot study. As can be seen in the data, all of the measures yielded a high-reliability standard as follows: Skills ofICT (0.881), Time Management (0.856), Resources (0.892), Learning Techniques (0.890), and Effectiveness of E-learning Tools Among Students (0.841), which portrayed an excellent internal consistency. In fact, an instrument is believed to portray an average liability if it yields a coefficient value of 0.60 and above (Sekaran & Bougie, 2017). Therefore, the questionnaires are applicable for the actual future research as the questions can be considered official.

5. CONCLUSION

The pilot study was primarilyconducted to establish the reliability and validity of the measuring instruments relative to the factors influencing the effectiveness of e-learning tools in the teaching and learning ofentrepreneurship students'courses in Kelantan. A pre-test was conducted by gathering and assessing experts'opinions of the content validity and face validity of the questionnaires. Based on the findings of the pilot study, all of the items are deemed reliable as they yielded Cronbach's alpha above the value of 0.7, which means that there is no need for deleting any item. Furthermore, the values of skewness and kurtosis have proven the normality of the data,henceassuring the feasibility of the research protocol. Overall, the questionnaires deemed valid following the reliability and validity of their measures upon examination. The actual study, which is further anticipated to gather the data from more than 400 entrepreneurship students'courses, would give a glimpse of the elearning tools' effectiveness in teaching and learning among students in Kelantan. Besides contributing to the body of knowledge inrelevant areas,the findings of this study would alsoallow for the commencement of standard future research.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank the authors from the Faculty of Hospitality, Tourism & Wellness and Faculty of Entrepreneurship and Business, Universiti Malaysia Kelantan for their time and commitment to this study.

REFERENCES

- Al-Rahmi, M. W., Allias, N., Othman, S. M., Alzahrani, I. A., Alfarraj, O., Saged, A. A., & Rahman, A. H. N. A. (2018). Use of e-learning by university students in Malaysian higher educational institutions: A case in University Teknologi Malaysia. *IEEE*, 6, 14268–14276.
- [2]. Arkorful, V., & Abaidoo, N. (2014). The role of e-learning, the advantages and disadvantages of its adoption in Higher Education. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 2(12).
- [3]. Aziz, R. C., Hashim, N. A. A. N., Omar, R. N. R., Yusoff, A. M., Muhammad, N. H., Simpong, D. B., Abdullah, T., Zainuddin, S. A., &Safri, F. H. M. (2019). Teaching and Learning in Higher Education: E-Learning as a Tool. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE)*, 9(1), 458-463.
- [4]. Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2016). E-learning and the science of instruction: Proven guidelines for consumers and designers of multimedia learning: John Wiley & Sons.
- [5]. Diemer, T. T., Fernandez, E., &Streepey, J. W. (2012). Student perceptions of classroom engagement and learning using iPads. Journal of Teaching and Learning with Technology, 1(2),13-25.
- [6]. Goh, F. C., Leong, M. C., Kasmin, K., Hii, K. P., & Tan, K. O. (2017). Students' experiences, learning outcomes and satisfaction in e-learning. Journal of E-learning and Knowledge Society, 13(2), 117–128.
- [7]. Hair, J. F., Celsi, M., Money, A. H., Samouel, P., & Page, M. (2015). The essentials of business research methods. Third edition. New York: Routledge.
- [8]. A. Mohan, V.Saravana Karthika, J. Ajith, Lenin dhal, M. Tholkapiyan, "Investigation on ultra high strength slurry infiltrated multiscale fibre reinforced concrete", Materials Today : Proceedings, ISSN: 1904-4720, Volume 22, 904-911, 2020.
- [9]. Hashim, N. A. A. N., Safri, F. H. M., Yusoff, A. M., Omar, R. N. R., Velayuthan, S. K., Hashim, H., Aziz, R. C., Awang, Z., Ahmad, G., &Fatt, B. S. (2019). Disintermediation Threat: How and What Strategies are Used by Traditional Travel Agency to Survive?.TEST Engineering & Management, 59(6S), 1022-1031.
- [10]. Hashim, N. A. A. N., Zulkiffli, W. F. W., Aziz, R. C., Nawi, N. M. M., Awang, Z., Muhammad, N. H., &Yusoff, A. M. (2020). Grab Pay App: The Factors Influencing Tourists' Behavioural Intention-to-Use. Journal of Talent Development and Excellence, 12(3S), 820-828.
- [11]. Srividhya K , Mohan A, Tholkapiyan M, Arunraj A, "Earth Quake Mitigation (EQDM) Through Engineering Design", Materials Today : Proceedings, ISSN:1904-4720 , Volume 22, 1074-1077, 2020.
- [12]. Ismail, S.F., Sabri, N.A.A., Zain, E.N.M., Mohamad, M.R. (2013). Moderating effects of support policy on agricultural productivity.Entrepreneurship Vision 2020: Innovation, Development Sustainability, and Economic Growth - Proceedings of the 20th International Business Information Management Association Conference, IBIMA 2013, 1, 1175-1184.
- [13]. Jethro, O. O., Grace, A. M., & Thomas, A. K. (2012). E-learning and its effect on teaching and learning in global age. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 2(1).
- [14]. Lumadi, M. W (2013). E-learning's impact on the academic performance of student-teachers: a curriculum lens. Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, 4(14).

- [15]. Masoumi, D., &Lindström, B. (2012). Quality in e-learning: a framework for promoting and assuring quality in virtual institutions. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 28(1), 27-41.
- [16]. R. Gopalakrishnan, VM Sounthararajan, A. Mohan, M. Tholkapiyan, "The strength and durability of flyash and quarry dust light weight foam concrete", Materials Today : Proceedings, ISSN: 1904-4720, Volume 22, 1117-1124, 2020.
- [17]. Venkataraman, S. & Sivakumar, S. (2015). Engaging Students in Group Based learning through e-learning techniques in Higher Education System. International Journal of Emerging Trends in Science and Technology, 2(1), 1741-1746.