Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51
-------------------------------	------------------	------------

MS Code : 273

Journal of Computers in Education https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-023-00273-z



# A systematic literature review of the acceptability of the use of Metaverse in education over 16 years

<sup>3</sup> Hui Wen Chua<sup>1,2</sup> • Zhonggen Yu<sup>1</sup>

4 Received: 29 November 2022 / Revised: 3 March 2023 / Accepted: 4 April 2023

5 © Beijing Normal University 2023

# 6 Abstract

7 The use of Metaverse became popular to overcome the limitations of 2D e-learning. 8 Metaverse has undergone significant changes in terms of application types, soft-9 ware, platform, and devices. Therefore, the emergence of the Metaverse influences 10 users, especially students and teachers of different educational fields, in accepting 11 or rejecting the use of the Metaverse. The PRISMA study aims to map the trends 12 of changes in the use of Metaverse in education and examine its perceived useful-13 ness and perceived ease of use from 2008 to 2022. The research findings are con-14 cluded as follows: (1) the trend of change from a single platform or software of the 15 Metaverse to a more diverse combination of software and devices among the types 16 of Metaverse in education; (2) the importance of perceived usefulness and perceived 17 ease of use in the acceptance and rejection of the use of Metaverse in education. 18 Future research suggests exploring the perceived usefulness and ease of use for a 19 broader range of education fields, and considering different types in relation to the 20 design of Metaverse platforms and devices.

<sup>21</sup> Keywords Use of Metaverse  $\cdot$  Perceived usefulness  $\cdot$  Perceived ease of use  $\cdot$ 

22 Education

# <sup>23</sup> Introduction

Metaverse has become a global trend due to the outbreak of the COVID-19 pan demic to overcome the spatio-temporal constraints faced by many domains. In Octo-

<sup>26</sup> ber 2021, Mark Zuckerberg officially announced the rebranding and positioning of

A1 Zhonggen Yu

A2 401373742@qq.com

A3 <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Foreign Studies, Beijing Language and Culture University, 15 Xueyuan Road,
 A4 Haidian District, Beijing 100083, China

Faculty of Language Studies and Human Development, Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Beg
 Berkunci No. 01, 16300 Bachok, Kelantan, Malaysia

ournal : SmallExtended 40692 Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
---	------------	---------------	----------------------

the Metaverse project as Meta. Horizon Workrooms is one of the innovations using 27 the Oculus VR headset, which allows multiple people to meet in a virtual environ-28 ment. The headsets are used for the spatial audio aspect, mainly to track the user's 29 body movements, especially hands, and facial expressions to create an immersive 30 experience (Hedrick et al., 2022). Thus, the announcement of the Metaverse pro-31 iect aimed to address the current disadvantages of 2D e-learning when educational 32 institutions were heavily affected to meet the pandemic and social distancing meas-33 ures. The disadvantages of 2D e-learning are inattention, inactivity, emotional isola-34 tion, and poor self-awareness. Many education sectors have begun to adapt and use 35 Metaverse to overcome the limitations of online learning. 36

As the education sector begins to use Metaverse to overcome the limitations of 37 online learning, it is necessary to examine user perceptions of the use of this tech-38 nology in education. Therefore, stakeholders, such as policy makers and educational 39 institutions, need to know whether teachers or students are willing to use Metaverse 40 for their teaching and learning. In addition, perceived usefulness and perceived ease 41 of use play a significant role in the use of Metaverse in education. It is necessary to 42 investigate whether Metaverse is useful and easy to use for teachers and students. 43 The results of the study are important for the future development of Metaverse. 44

# 45 Literature review

### 46 Metaverse

The concept of the metaverse existed 30 years ago when Neal Stephenson was the 47 first to introduce it in his 1992 dystopian cyberpunk novel Snow Crash. The pro-48 tagonist is described as existing virtually in a sentence on page 24 of the novel: "He 49 is in a universe created by the computer. He can see with his glasses what the com-50 puter draws and hear what is pumped into his earphones. In technical jargon, this 51 imaginary place is called a metaverse" (Stephenson, 2000). The "metaverse" then, 52 is a virtual world composed of unique environments. Each of these environments 53 serves a specific purpose, namely entertainment, socializing, and education. (Ste-54 phenson, 2000; Pimentel et al., 2022). Therefore, the word "Meta" in Greek means 55 "beyond" and "verse" means the totality of something or the universe. 56

The emergence of a robust metaverse platform channel, such as Second Life 57 and OpenSim in 2003, shapes the development of many Internet-related techno-58 logical fields (Papagiannidls & Bourlakis, 2010; Jeon, 2021). Thus, Metaverse is 59 primarily a 3D-based virtual world in which participants themselves can create 60 an avatar (a configurable digital body) to interact with other avatars and digi-61 tal objects in virtual space (Dhawan, 2020; Hrastinki, 2008, Mystakidis, 2022; 62 Stöhr et al., 2020). In other words, Metaverse is an immersive 3D virtual world 63 that allows users from all parts of the world to engage in social and economic 64 interactions that are computational (Arcila, 2014; Díaz et al., 2020; Marquez, 65 2011; Vazquez-Cano & Sevillano-García, 2017; Akour et al., 2022). Therefore, 66

Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
-------------------------------	------------------	------------	---------------	----------------------

the Metaverse was primarily developed as an immersive and interactive online 67 game that allows users to experience social interaction in the virtual world. 68 As a result, Second Life has been referred to as a precursor to the Metaverse 69 (Dwivedi et al., 2022; Gent, 2022; Ludlow & Wallace, 2007). Meanwhile, cur-70 rent interactive 3D platforms such as Roblox, Minecraft, and Fortnite have 71 become the model for the upcoming development of the Metaverse. Although 72 these metaverse platforms have been around for more than two decades, the con-73 text of the metaverse is still limited by their platform independence and func-74 tionality (Dwivedi et al., 2022). 75

Since the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, Metaverse has become a 76 popular topic (Duan et al., 2021). It has become a new kind of Internet appli-77 cation and social form that integrates various kinds of new technologies (Ning 78 et al., 2021). The metaverse has developed into a vast field by integrating 79 advanced technologies, such as the collective space in virtuality (Lee et al., 80 2021), an omniverse combining the place of simulation and collaboration (Lee 81 et al., 2021), the embodied Internet or spatial Internet (Chayka, 2021), the mir-82 ror world (Lee et al., 2021), the post-reality universe, a perpetual and persistent 83 multi-user environment that merges physical reality with virtual reality (Mys-84 takidis et al., 2022), and lifelogging (Bruun & Stentoft, 2019; Tlili et al., 2022). 85

Therefore, emerging technologies in the metaverse provide users with dif-86 ferent experiences in teaching and learning. There are several examples of 87 metaverse use in education. Metaverse was first introduced as a form of Virtual 88 World (VW) when Second Life, a desktop virtual reality, was used as a platform 89 in education. One study examined the advantages and disadvantages of VW 90 to strengthen curriculum in an academic setting (Kluge & Riley, 2008; Man-91 zoor, 2019; Suh & Ahn, 2022). Augmented reality (AR) technology during the 92 COVID-19 outbreak can improve students' cognitive abilities related to knowl-93 edge retention and creativity. The use of metaverse technologies has been shown 94 to promote autonomous learning (Lopes & Gonçalves, 2021; Suh & Ahn, 2022). 95 In addition, a AR math game (van der Stappen et al., 2019) and a AR -supported 96 storybook (Wangid et al., 2020) can help students reduce their math anxiety. 97 The use of different types of metaverse in history teaching and their effects are 98 of additional importance to teachers and education policymakers (Choi & Kim, 99 2017). Thus, it can be seen that most existing research on Metaverse applica-100 tions in education focuses on VW and AR. The result is consistent with that of 101 Hwang and Chien (2022) that the perspective of a strict definition of metaverse 102 is still on VR or AR. 103

Although the use of Metaverse in education has changed significantly over the years in terms of the advancement of technology platforms and devices, the acceptance of users, i.e., teachers or students, in the use of Metaverse, especially in education, is still questionable. Therefore, it is important to determine whether the perceived usefulness and ease of use among teachers and students play an important role in the application of Metaverse in education. Therefore, the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) is used for the study due to its simplicity and

Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023

suitability for use in academic settings (Landry et al., 2006). The model contains
three constructs: perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and intention to use.
According to Shachak, et al. (2019), the simple nature of TAM makes it a useful
tool that can directly measure user acceptance of new technologies compared to
other models such as the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology
(UTAUT).

# 117 Technology acceptance model (TAM)

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) was proposed by Fred Davis in (1989) 118 by adapting the psychological Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) to create a reli-119 able model that can help predict actual use of a technology (Davis, 1985; Granic & 120 Marangunic, 2019). Therefore, TAM has become a key model for understanding the 121 predictors of human behavior in relation to the potential acceptance or rejection of 122 technology. There are three factors that TAM reveals can explain user behavior: per-123 ceived ease of use (PEOU), perceived usefulness (PU), and attitude toward use. The 124 PU and PEOU can influence user attitudes (Davis, 1985, 1989). 125

TAM has been widely used for years to study the acceptance of learning tech-126 nologies among students, teachers, and stakeholders (Davis, 2011; Granic & Maran-127 gunic, 2019). TAM is the most widely used foundational theory in the e-learning 128 acceptance literature and has been explored for other learning technologies. For 129 example, Sánchez Prieto, et al. (2016) studied TAM in mobile learning, del Bar-130 rio-García, et al. (2015) applied TAM to Personal Learning Environments (PLEs), 131 Alharbi and Drew (2014) used TAM in Learning Management Systems (LMS), 132 Sánchez and Hueros (2010) applied TAM to test the open source LMS Moodle, 133 and Ibrahim, et al. (2017) investigated TAM for the commercial LMS Blackboard 134 (Granic & Marangunic, 2019). 135

In addition, the theory of TAM has undergone some changes. The changes lead-136 ing to the extended TAM or TAM2 have been updated not only by removing the 137 attitudinal component from the model, but also by adding subjective norm, image, 138 work relevance, output quality, and result demonstrability. The additional variables 139 affect PU while the subjective norm affects both PU and behavioral intention to use 140 (BI). The addition of the subjective norm in TAM2 serves to capture the social influ-141 ence that affects end users' evaluation of whether to accept or reject the use of the 142 technology (Holden & Karsh, 2010). To assess students' and teachers' acceptance or 143 rejection of the use of metaverses in learning, PU and PEOU still play an important 144 role. Therefore, TAM is chosen as the model in this study. 145

# 146 Perceived usefulness (PU)

Perceived usefulness (PU) refers to the extent to which individuals believe how useful the technology would be (Davis et al., 1989). PU is one of the self-efficacy perspective variables found in the model of technology acceptance (TAM) developed

ournal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51
------------------------------	------------------	------------

MS Code : 273

Journal of Computers in Education

by Davis et al. (1989). PU has also been proposed as an influential antecedent shaping users' attitudes (Att) and behavioral intentions (BI) (Davis et al., 1989; Pavlou, 2003). TAM hypothesizes that PU influences the formation of positive attitudes related to the use of technology, which, when combined with PU, lead individuals to make better use of technology BI. Therefore, Davis (1989) emphasizes that PU plays a role in shaping users' attitudes toward technology use, and these attitudes influence users' behavioral intention to actual usage or reject the technology.

PU is the most important variable that influences the use or rejection of a new 157 technology. Previous research has shown the impact of PU on teachers' and stu-158 dents' acceptance or rejection of a particular technology. Sprenger and Schwaninger 159 (2021) conducted a study to test how PU students interact with four digital learning 160 technologies. The study PU showed that mobile virtual reality had the lowest scores 161 after three months of use. The reason for the low PU was too much time required 162 to set up the mobile VR sequences, and some students were held up in class due to 163 technical problems, which affected their PU for the technology. Luik and Taimalu 164 (2021) found that PU was important to both teachers and students, which influenced 165 their attitudes toward using technology in the classroom. The study also found that 166 positive attitudes toward the use of technology is helpful for students and teachers, it 167 might increase their positive attitude toward using technology in education. Scherer 168 and Teo's (2019) meta-analysis of teachers' intentions to incorporate technology 169 found that more than 80% of primary studies prove that PU influences behavioral 170 intention (BI). So, this finding proves that PU works indirectly through Att to predict 171 BI and indirectly. Thus, teachers' PU support teaching and learning processes that 172 determine their intentions to use technology (Baydas & Goktas, 2017; Scherer & 173 Teo, 2019). 174

### 175 Perceived ease of use

Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU) indicates how easy users believe the technology is 176 to use. Like PU, PEOU is also part of the self-efficacy perspective variable. At the 177 same time, PEOU has also been recommended as an influential antecedent condition 178 that affects users' attitudes (Att) and behavioral intentions (BI) (Davis et al., 1989; 179 Pavlou, 2003). PEOU plays the same role as PU in TAM, where PEOU influences 180 the formation of positive attitudes related to technology use. Davis et al. (1989) also 181 found that PEOU is likely to positively influence individuals' perceptions of the use-182 fulness of technology (Ma et al., 2017). PEOU plays the same role as PU in influ-183 encing users' attitudes toward using technology by affecting users' behavioral inten-184 tion to actually use or reject technology. 185

PEOU also plays the same role as PU as a critical variable influencing technology use or rejection. Research shows that PEOU is one of the critical antecedents of attitude and BI in adopting social media technology (Shin & Kim, 2008) and BI only in using cell phones (Tan et al., 2012). Some previous research shows the impact of PEOU on teachers' and students' use and rejection of technology. According

Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
-------------------------------	------------------	------------	---------------	----------------------

to Moses et al. (2013), teachers are most likely to use a technology if it is easy to 191 use and does not require effort to operate. Luik and Taimalu (2021) found in their 192 research that PEOU has a significant favorable impact on both PU for teachers and 193 students. However, PEOU was not confirmed to exert a significant influence on atti-194 tudes toward technology use when co-occurring with PU. The reason is that technol-195 ogy is used more frequently in education now than in the past, and when users can 196 easily use technologies, PEOU has no influence on attitude. Therefore, PEOU must 197 be combined with PU to influence attitude toward use. However, whether PEOU 198 plays a significant role in the use of Metaverse in education is still debatable because 199 not all students and teachers have used the devices or platforms in their teaching and 200 learning. 201

# 202 **Research questions**

The use of the metaverse in education is proving popular, both during the COVID-203 19 pandemic and after Mark Zuckerberg announced the renaming and positioning of 204 the Meta Project. However, research has examined the use of Metaverse in educa-205 tion not during or after the pandemic, but since 2008. They began with Shen and 206 Eder (2008, 2009), who used TAM to investigate students' acceptance of using the 207 Second Life VR platform in economics courses. Currently, Alfaisal et al. (2022) are 208 conducting a systematic study on the use of metaverse systems in education. The 209 study mainly focuses on eleven elements: (1) primary research objectives of the col-210 lected articles, (2) research methods, (3) primary countries, (4) primary disciplines, 211 (5) educational levels, (6) primary software or tools, (7) research model used, (8) 212 key factor categories, (9) commonly used research factors, (10) key database, and 213 (11) trends over time. However, the study did not address the impact of metaverse 214 trends on user adoption in education, which will be the outcome of the study. So, 215 to what extent has the metaverse been integrated into education and how are users, 216 i.e., students and teachers, responding? Therefore, it is crucial to investigate how the 217 components of TAM: perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use, influence the 218 acceptance of the use of Metaverse in education by students and teachers. 219

RQ1 What is the trend in the use of Metaverse in education? Between 2008 and 2022, what is the distribution of types of platforms, software, hardware, and devices based on the type of Metaverse in different education sectors?

223

226

- RQ2 Does perceived usefulness in different education sectors play an important role in the use of different types of Metaverse?
- RQ3 Does perceived ease of use in different education sectors play an important role in the use of different types of Metaverse?

Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
-------------------------------	------------------	------------	---------------	----------------------

# 229 Methods

In this study, the authors apply a systematic review, namely PRISMA (Preferred
 Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses). The authors apply
 PRISMA as the basis for reporting systematic reviews with objectives.

# 233 PRISMA

PRISMA is an accepted approach by Page et al. (2021), an evidence-based mini-234 mum set of items for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses. The authors 235 searched three primary databases: Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar. 236 To answer research questions three and four, the following word sequences were 237 used for the search: "metaverse" AND "educat\*" OR "teach\*" OR "learn\*" OR 238 "train\*"AND "perceived usefulness" OR "perceived ease of use." The authors did 239 not set a year limit, so the authors recovered all searches until November 14, 2022 240 to find out when the use of Metaverse in education started. Thus, the result of the 241 search will provide information about the years when the use of Metaverse started 242 and how long it has been used in education. The search was conducted to obtain 243 the abstract, title, and keywords. Authors included only peer-reviewed articles 244 and dissertations (Figs. 1, 2). 245

The word sequences were searched in the Web of Science (WoS), Scopus, and 246 Google scholar databases. As a result, the authors identified three articles in Web 247 of Science and 16 articles in Scopus and 621 articles in Google Scholar. Accord-248 ing to Fig. 2, there were a total of 640 articles, 22 duplicate articles and 261 arti-249 cles unrelated to Metaverse were removed, and 357 articles remained that were 250 reviewed by title and abstract. The authors excluded articles if (1) the Metaverse 251 was discussed in general terms and was not related to education; (2) Metaverse 252 was applied in education but TAM was not applied; (3) the articles not written 253 in English; (4) the articles were in the form of a review; and (5) the articles were 254 not peer-reviewed articles or dissertations. Therefore, the authors included only 255 55 articles, while 302 others were excluded because they met all five criteria. 256 The 55 articles were screened using the full text, and articles were excluded if 257



Fig. 1 The original technology acceptance model TAM (Davis, 1989; Tella & Olasina, 2014)

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 An	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
----------------------------------	------------------	------------	---------------	----------------------



Fig. 2 Flowchart of study selection process

(1) other digital components related to education; (2) metaverse was applied but 258 was not related to education; (3) metaverse was applied in education but TAM 259 was not applied; (4) full text not available; (5) no empirical research; (6) article 260 in the form of a review. Finally, only 39 articles were considered for synthesis. 261 Four additional articles were extracted during the screening of full-text articles. 262 During the selection process, two reviewers performed the literature filtering. If 263 the two could not agree on a selection, a third rater was consulted to make a final 264 decision. Inter-rater reliability reached a satisfactory level (k = 0.77). 265

	Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Article No : 273 Pages : 51 MS Code : 273 Dispatch : 14-5-2023
--	--



Fig. 3 Number of research based on education sectors and the type of Metaverse applied

# 266 **Results**

# RQ1: What is the trend in the use of Metaverse in education? Between 2008

# and 2022, what is the distribution of types of platforms, software, hardware, and devices based on the type of Metaverse in different education sectors?

According to Fig. 3, 42 articles were selected and analyzed as TAM was applied to 270 them to investigate user acceptance based on the types of metaverse use in different 271 educational fields. Educational domains include medicine and healthcare, teacher 272 training and preparation, education in general, languages, business, architecture, 273 engineering, and others not mentioned in the study. In medical or healthcare educa-274 tion, virtual reality (VR) is used most often, with seven research articles. In in-ser-275 vice and pre-service teacher education, on the other hand, augmented reality (AR) 276 is used most frequently, with seven research articles, three articles involving only 277 VR, and two articles involving both AR and VR. In general education, six of seven 278 articles take place in higher education, four in applied education VR, and one each 279 in IoT and AR. The only article that concerns elementary education uses both VR 280 and reflection of reality in research. In language education, AR is used for English 281 writing skills (Koc et al., 2022), VR for Chinese communication skills (Grant et al., 282 2014), and Spanish language (Lorenzo et al., 2013). Two business education courses 283 include the use of VR (Shen & Eder, 2008, 2009). In architectural education, there 284 are two articles, one of which uses VR, AR, and Mixed Reality (MR) (Cabero-285 Almenara et al., 2021), while the other uses only VR (Cantimur, 2009). There is one 286 article from the field of engineering education that uses all VR, AR, and MR (Tüm-287 ler et al., 2022). The others included four articles: Violence Prevention Education in 288

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Article No : 273 Pages : 51 MS Code : 273 Dispatch : 14-5-2023

AI (Kang, et al., 2022), Automation Platform in VR (Shyr et al., 2022), Art History in AR and VR (Cabero-Almenara et al., 2022), and Fire Safety Education in Schools in VR (Mystakidis et al., 2022).

Table 1 shows that from 2008 to 2014, researchers began to investigate students' 292 and teachers' perceptions of the acceptability of using Metaverse in education using 293 TAM. The Second Life virtual reality platform is the platform that researchers have 294 been using in various educational settings since 2008. Educational domains include 295 medicine and healthcare, architecture, business, languages, teaching and learning in 296 higher education, and teacher education and training. Faculty and students use a PC 297 provided by the institutions or their personal computers. From 2008 to 2014, there 298 has been almost one research per year in medical and health education, except in 299 2009 and 2012. Toro-Troconis is the one who has done the most research on the 300 application of Second Life VR in medical and health education, followed by Val-301 lance, et al. (2014). Meanwhile, Huang et al. (2013) use Virtual Body Structures-302 Auxiliary Teaching System (VBS-ATS) supported by desktop VR and projection 303 VR in medical and health education. In business education, there is only one study 304 per year, which VR used Second Life in 2008 and 2009. In language education, 305 there was one study that used the VR application OpenSim in 2013 and one study 306 in Second Life in 2014. In architecture education, there was only one research that 307 used Second Life in 2009. In teacher education, there was only one study that used 308 the AvayaLive Engage platform. There is also one study for Second Life in higher 309 education, the other is not mentioned. 310

Researchers have begun to examine teacher and students acceptance by using 311 TAM in the use of a wider variety of metaverses, not limited to Second Life virtual 312 reality, between the years 2019 and 2022. Table 2 shows that researchers are begin-313 ning to use more than one VR or AR software to create activities in their teaching 314 and learning. Girard (2021) presented several VR software: Spatial, Alcove, Notes 315 on Blindness, Anne Frank's House, Gravity Lab, or Ecosphere for faculty in edu-316 cation to conduct hands-on activities with the Oculus Quest device. Meanwhile, 317 researchers have begun to conduct research using marker-based AR tools: ARIS, 318 Zapworks, Blippar Roar, and 3DQR to train teachers in training (Mikropoulos et al., 319 2022) and teachers in service (Koutromanos & Mikropoulos, 2021; Pasalidou & 320 Fachantidis, 2021) in creating their AR application. Fung (2022) investigated how 321 teachers in training integrate the platform VR called Minecraft into their foreign lan-322 guage teaching and learning. Two studies address teachers' perceptions of using dif-323 ferent AR applications: WebART (Liu et al., 2022) and mobile AR computing plat-324 forms (Manna, 2022). Two types of research were conducted on both VR and AR, 325 one related to teachers in training (Jang et al., 2021) and the other related to teachers 326 in training (Cheng, 2021). 327

Different types of metaverses and platforms are used for language, architecture, and medicine. For example, Koç et al. (2022) investigated the use of AR Metaverse Studio in their study to help high school students create texts for their English writing skills. Cabero-Almenara, et al. (2021) use both VR and AR software to create a mixed reality environment for teaching architecture. The AR software was Zappar, Android Studio, Sketahfab, Autocard, Google sketah Up, and Adobe Photoshop; the VR software was Sketahfa, Google Sketachfa, Krpano and Google VR. Tümler et al.

Journal : SmallExtended 40692         Article No : 273         Pages : 51         MS Code : 273         Dispatch : 14-5-2023
--



Table 1 (continued)

Year	,		2008		2009		2010	2011	2012	2013			2014		
Educat	ion secto	or	M	В	A	В	М	М	GE	L	М	NM	M	PT	Г
AR	S	low-cost VR headset Cardboard VR Metaverse studio Zappar. Android Studio, Sketahfab, Autocard, Google sketah Up, Adobe Photoshop ARIS, Zapworks, Blippar Roar, and 3DQR WebART Mobile Augmented Reality Computer Platform				A									
	MN				>										
	D	Android and IOS Smartphones Google Glass HoloLens 2													
IOT	MN					,									
AI	MN							(							
MN															
SL Sec SF Scł Device	cond Lif tool Fir , H Har	fe, <i>M</i> Medical/Healthcare, <i>B</i> Business, <i>i</i> re Preparedness Training, <i>HA</i> History o rdware, <i>VR</i> Virtual Reality, <i>AR</i> Augment	4 Arch f Art, ed Rea	itecture, AP Auto dity, Mil	GE Gene omation P ? Miror Re	rral Educ latform, eality, A	cation, L Lá P Violenc I Artificial	anguage, <i>E</i> e Preventio Intelligence	Engineeri n Educati	ng, <i>PT</i> Pre on, <i>NM</i> N	e-Service ot mentio	e & In-Serv oned, P Pla	rice Teac atform, 5	ther Tra	uining, are, D

Dispatch : 14-5-2023

Journal : SmallExtended 40692

Article No : 273

Pages : 51

MS Code : 273

Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023



Table	ر د ۲	continued)															
Year			2019	2021					2022								
Educa	ation	Sector	ΡT	PT	Μ	г	A	GE	Е	M	GE	ΡT	SF	HA	AP	ΛP	MN
AR	<sup>∞</sup>	Oculus Quest low-cost VR headset Cardboard VR Metaverse studio Zappar. Android Studio, Sketahfab, Autocard, Google sketah Up, Autocard, Google sketah Up, Adobe Photoshop ARIS, Zapworks, Blippar Roar and 3DQR WebART Mobile Augmented Reality Computer Platform Zappar. Android Studio, Sketchfab, Blender, GigaPan Stitch, Adobe Photoshop Combination of the Vuforia SDK, Unity3D, 3D Studio Max, and image editing software	-	-	-	-	_	-						-			
	MN	1		0				J		(	1						1
	D	Android and IOS Smartphones Google Glass HoloLens 2				1											
IOT	MN	Į									1					-	
A	MN															-	

# D Springer

ol of C Ŀ in Fdi . tion

Jou	rnal (		nput	ers ir	n Education
		ŶŻ			ftware,
		VP			reacher n, <i>S</i> Soo
		AP			Platforr ]
		HA			& In-S ned, P
		SF			Service mentio
		T			VM Not
		E I			cation, 2 attion, 2
			1		or Educion Educiones e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
	22	M		7	uage, <i>E</i> reventi, freventi, elligence
	20	ш			L Lang
		GE			, <i>P</i> Vio, <i>I</i> Artifi
		A			aality, A
		Г			E Gene Airor Re Airor Re
	021	T			Autom G. Autom V. Mir N.
	9 2(	ГЪ			Architec Art, AP Reality
	201	PT			ess, A / Dry of / Imented
					A Histo A Histo 4R Aug
					ncare, <i>H</i> ning, <i>H</i> cality, <i>i</i>
					I/Healtt irtual R irtual R
					Medica paredne s, VR V
tinued)		ector			life, <i>M</i> ardware
2 (coi		ation S	MN		econd I chool F cc, H H cc, H H
Table	Year	Educ	MiR	MN	SL S SF S Devi

Pages : 51

MS Code : 273

Dispatch : 14-5-2023

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Article No : 273

Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No · 273	Pages · 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch · 14-5-2023
Journal . SmallExtended 40072	AILICIE NO . 275	rages . 51	W13 COUC . 275	Dispatch . 14-5-2025

(2022) compared students' perceptions of using different AR and VR devices, such
 as PC-VR, Oculus Quest/Vive Focus, cardboard VR, HoloLens 2, and smartphone based AR in engineering courses.

Table 2 shows how the acceptance of different types of metaverses, metaverses 338 devices, and platforms by students in general education has been studied. There 339 are two types of research that address the acceptance of higher education students' 340 use of metaverse devices: low-cost headsets VR (Alamäki et al., 2021) and Google 341 Glass (Alianada et al., 2022). Almaiah et al. (2022) investigated university students' 342 adoption of the Internet of Things (IoT) (Almaiah et al., 2022) and Kim et al. (2022) 343 on the VR platform VirBELA (V-story). On the other hand, there are studies com-344 paring elementary students' perceptions when using VR and Mirror Reality in learn-345 ing (Suh & Ahn, 2022). However, there are two studies on VR and one study on AR, 346 which do not specify the platform or device used in teaching and learning. 347

Table 2 illustrates that research on student perceptions of the acceptability of 348 the use of metaverse in education has been extended to more specific teaching and 349 learning methods, as well as to practical training. For example: fire safety in schools 350 (Mystakidis et al., 2022), art history (Cabero-Almenara et al., 2022), automation 351 platform with cyber-physical integration, and violence prevention education (Kang 352 et al., 2022). The teaching and learning and hands-on training involve students at 353 both higher and lower levels of education. Mystakidis, et al. (2022) designed, devel-354 oped, and evaluated FSCHOOL, a serious game for fire preparation in an automated 355 virtual cave environment (CAVE-VR) for elementary school teachers. They devel-356 oped the game activities using Unity 3D with the help of the Fire Dynamics Simula-357 tor and a script to emulate and visualize fire spread. Cabero-Almenara et al. (2022) 358 use a mixture of AR: Zappar. Android Studio, Sketchfab, Blender, GigaPan Stitch, 359 and Adobe Photoshop, and VR software: Unity 3D, Krpano, Sketchfab, and Adobe 360 Photoshop to create a mixed reality environment for art history classes. Shyr et al. 361 (2022) used cyber-physical integration concepts to teach students in an automation 362 platform. Kang et al. (2022) conducted a study to determine student awareness of 363 using a chatbot (AuC), a type of artificial intelligence technology, to prevent vio-364 lence among elementary school students. 365

# RQ2: does perceived usefulness in different education sectors play an important role in the use of different types of Metaverse?

Perceived usefulness (PU) plays a critical role in technology integration in educa-368 tion. Research has demonstrated the role of PU in user acceptance of metaverse use 369 in education. Table 3 shows that there are nine studies that address the acceptance 370 of the use of Metaverse in the education of medical students and pre-service and 371 in-service teachers. Seven studies address the use of Metaverse in the general educa-372 tion of students. Three studies deal with the use of Metaverse in language teaching, 373 and two studies deal with the teaching and learning of business and architecture. In 374 art history, mechanical engineering, fire safety education, automation platform, and 375 school violence prevention, there is one study each on the use of Metaverse. Higher 376

poses
nd
ducation
for e
Metaverse
п.
used
evice
and d
platform,
software,
plication
n ap
Details of
le 3

software, platform, and device used in N	Internet for an annual for a second to the second s				
Field Type of N	Aetaverse	Devices/platform	PU P	EOU Effec	ts
VR AR	Mirror Reality IoT AI				
Medical		Platform: Second Life	~	The ske Both did Both did grc app grc app the the the the the the the the the the	VR group was more prical than the anodule group about actic potential the VR and E-module ups felt that their ming experience was anced, justifying the ra effort the VR and E-module ups agreed that both uls agreed that both uls agreed that both uls agreed that both aresting and imagina- vR group emphasized the learning experi- e with VR is less cient and effective
Madical /		Platform: Second Life	~	Won pos tow	en show a more itive overall attitude ard the perceived

Pages : 51

Table 3 (continued)					
Medical					
No Author	Field	Type of Metaverse	Devices/platform	PU PEOU	Effects
		VR AR Mirror Reality IoT AI			
3 Toro-Troconis (2011)	Medical		Platform: Second Life	~	The VR group was more skeptical than the E-module group about didactic potential Both the VR and E-module groups felt that their learning experience was enhanced, justifying the extra effort Both the VR and E-module groups agreed that both applications offered more interesting and imagina- tive ways of learning The VR group emphasized that the learning experi- ence with VR is less efficient and effective
4 Huang, et al. (2013)	Medical		The Virtual Body Structures-Auxiliary Teaching System (VBS- ATS) (desktop VR and projec- tion-based VR)	-	Immersion and imagina- tion features of VR have a positive influence on PU and may also predict PEOU PU and PEOU contribute to students' use of BI VR learning systems

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$  Springer

	e 3 (continued)				
Meg	lical				
°N N	Author	Field	Type of Metaverse	Devices/platform PU PE	OU Effects
			VR AR Mirror Reality IoT AI		
2v	Vallance, et al. (2014)	Medical		Platform: Second Life /	Graphic/visual representa- tions and simulations in VR had a positive mean- ing for students PU
Q	Al-Hiyari (2021)	Medical/Healthcare		Device: VR Oculus Quest / / Software: Autodesk Maya software and Unity asset	First aid e-books had a higher PEOU than Virtual Aid PEOU predicts users' future intention to use Virtual Aid
					PU predicts student intent to use similar or other VR-supported training applications for learning and training
٢	Almarzouqi, et al. (2022)	Medical	8	Not mentioned	Significant correlation between PU and PEOU Higher PU and PEOU lead to higher metaverse
				ÔÊ	acceptance Students believe PU influ- ences their intentions to adopt new technologies PEOU influences students' acceptance and adoption of the metaverse

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Article No : 273

Table 3 (continued)				
Medical				
No Author	Field	Type of Metaverse	Devices/platform	PU PEOU Effects
		VR AR Mirror Reality IoT AI		
8 Alawadhi, et al. (2022)	Medical		Not mentioned	<ul> <li>/ / PEOU and PU influenced personal innovativeness (PI)</li> <li>PEOU and PU were statistically related to perceived pleasure (EJ)</li> </ul>
9 Alfàisal, et al. (2022)	Medical	SE	Not mentioned	PEOU and PU significantly influenced students' pro- pensity to use metaverse PU and PEOU significantly influence users' intention to use metaverse
Pre-service and in-service teac	hers' perception			
No Author	Field	Type of Metaverse	Devices/platform	PU PEOU Effects
		VR AR Mirror Reality IoT AI		
1 Camilleri (2014)	Pre-Service Teacher Training		AvayaLive Engage	Students' PEOU and PU were not subject to sig- nificant change before and
2 Ibili, et al. (2019)	Primary school math- ematics teachers		Augmented Reality Geometry Tutorial System (ARGTS)	after the VR experience / / PU was found to have a direct impact on attitudes (AT) Perceived Ease of use (PEU) had a direct effect on per-
				ceived usefulness (PU)

G G	vuthor							
ß		Field	Type of Metaverse		Devices/platform	PU PF	OU Effects	
G			VR AR Mirror Reality	IoT AI				
	jirard (2021)	Pre-Service Language Teacher Training	-		Device: VR Oculus Quest 2 Software: Spatial, Alcove, Notes on Blindness, Anne Frank's House, Gravity Lab or Eco-	-	Teacher websit classr Teacher PEOU sional	e from VR in the PU e from VR in the om s focused more on in their profes- development
U	cheng (2021)	Pre-Service Language (Teacher Training)	SE			~	PEOU p PEOU p role in teache VR ap device	layed an important helping student rs select AR and plications or s that were easy
IJ	iómez-García, et al. (2021)	Primary Education Training		2	Not mentioned	~	No signi were f PU of and w	ificant differences ound between the students with AR ithout AR
					OF			

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Article No : 273

Tab	le 3 (continued)						
Pre-	-service and in-service teache	rs' perception					
No	Author	Field	Type of Metaverse		Devices/platform 1	PEOU	Effects
			VR AR Mirror Rea	lity IoT AI			
9	Jang, et al. (2021)	In-Service Teachers	1 1		Not mentioned		PU had an impact on ATU, mediated by PEOU PEOU addresses the value
			1				technology for teach- ing; newer technologies
			Ċ				such as AR and VR may not yet be perceived by
							teachers as educationally useful
							PEOU and PU influenced ATU
٢	Koutromanos and Mikro- poulos (2021)	In-Service Teacher			A proposed Mobile Aug- / mented Reality	~	PU is an important predic- tor of intention to use
			Y	2	Acceptance Model called MARAM		PU is an important predic- tor of attitude
							PEOU is not an important medictor of user intention
							to use and attitude
×	Pasalidou and Fachantidis (2021)	Primary School teachers	1		BlippAR app	-	PU and PEOU can influ- ence the behavioral
	~						intention to use a new
6	Manna (2022)	Language			Mobile Augmented Real-		PU and PEOU influenced
		Teachers' Perception			ity (MAR) computer		teachers' attitudes toward
					plauoriii		mented reality (MAR)

MS Code : 273

Dispatch : 14-5-2023

Tab	le 3 (continued)						
Pre-	service and in-service teache	rs' perception					
°Z	Author	Field	Type of Metaverse	Devices/platform	PU	PEOU	Effects
			VR AR Mirror Reality IoT AI				
10	Liu, et al. (2022)	Teachers' Perception	-	AR learning resource authoring tool: WebART	~		Teachers' PU did not significantly influence user experience of the AR authoring tool Teachers' PEOU had a positive and significant impact on their AR authoring tool user
11	Fung (2022)	Pre-service and In-service Teacher		Platform: Minecraft			experience Participants who have expe- rience with PC games and video games have a higher PEOU than inex-
12	Mikropoulos, et al. (2022)	Pre-Service Teacher Training	R	Software: marker-based AR tool BlippAR	~	~	perienced participants PU has a positive influence on the pre-service teach- ers' intention to use MAR PEOU did not prove to be a significant predictor of Att and PU. This is due to their percention of MAR
							as useful and enjoyable

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Article No : 273

MS Code : 273

Gen	eral education					
°Z	Author	Field	Type of Metaverse	Devices/platform	PU PEOU	Effects
			VR AR Mirror Reality IoT AI			
_	Wimpenny, et al. (2012)	Higher Education		Platform: Second Life		Impact of PU in VR: how prepared were students and teachers to deal with usability hurdles when technology did not meet their expectations
7	Alamäki, et al. (2021)	Higher Education	SE	Conventional video, 360 videos with or without the low-cost VR headset	~	Participants' poor initial experiences with the low-cost VR with more technical challenges and eye-strain (PEOU & PU) influenced user adoption of the low-cost VR
ε	Akour, et al. (2022)	Higher Education	PR	Not mentioned		PU was a significant predictor of the factor of users' intention to use th Metaverse system Student perceptions may b influenced by PEOU
4	Kim, et al. (2022)	Higher Education	,	VirBELA(V-story)	-	PU is a significant factor for intention to use PEOU negatively predicts intention to use

Tab	le 3 (continued)						
Gen	neral education						
No.	Author	Field	Type of Metaverse	Devices/platform	PU	PEOU	Effects
			VR AR Mirror Reality IoT AI				
2	Almaiah, et al. (2022)	Higher Education		Not mentioned	~	~	PU and PEOU have signifi- cant effects on IoT usage intention
9	Aljanada, et al. (2022)	Higher Education	-	Device: Google Glass	~	~	Google Glass adoption significantly correlates with PU and PEOU
7	Suh and Ahn (2022)	Elementary	Shi	Not mentioned	~		Students found Virtual World (VW) to be rela- tively fun, but not PU Students found Mirror World (MW) not fun, but had PU
			PR	OF			

Tab	ole 3 (continued)					
Lar	nguage					
No N	Author	Field	Type of Metaverse	Devices/ platform	PU PEOU	Effects
			VR AR Mirror Reality IoT AI			
l _	Lorenzo, et al. (2013)	Language		OpenSim		PU from VR platforms positively and directly influences the usage intent (BU) of the system for language purposes PEOU of VR platforms positively and directly influences behavioral intention to use the system for language purposes (BU) PEOU of VR platforms positively and directly influences PU of the system for language purposes
0	Grant, et al. (2014)	Chinese language	3	Platform: Second Life	~	Similarities to real-life situ- ations can promote PU
				OF		

MS Code : 273

Dispatch : 14-5-2023

Table 3 (continued)					
Language					
No Author	Field	Type of Metaverse	Devices/ platform	PU PEOU	Effects
		VR AR Mirror Reality IoT AI			
3 Koç, et al. (2022)	Language (High School Students)		Software: Metaverse Studio Android and iOs	-	PU: Students perceived AR-based writing experi- ence as useful to improve their writing skills PU: AR-based writing experiences facilitated students to organize their ideas during writing PU: AR-based writing experiences improved word choice and use of connectors in writing
Architecture					
No Author	Field	Type of Metaverse VR AR Mirror Reality IoT AI	Devices/platform	PU PEOU	Effects
1 Cantimur (2009)	Interior Architecture Design		Platform: Second Life	~	Students PU showed that working with VR in the design course led to more creative and successful design

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Article No : 273

MS Code : 273

Type of Metaverse     Devices/platform     PU       VR     AR     Mirror Reality     IoT     AI       /     /     /     Software:     /       /     /     AR: Zappar. Android     /       /     AR: Zappar. Android     /     /       /     AR: Zappar. Android     /     /       /     AR: Zappar. Android     /     /       /     Autocard. Google sketahfab,     /     /       /     Adobe Photoshop;     VR: Sketahfab,     /       /     Adobe Photoshop;     VR: Sketahfab,     PIOU       /     Adobe Photoshop;     VR: Sketachfa,     PIOU       /     Adobe Photoshop;     VR: Sketachfa,     PIOU
Type of Metaverse     Devices/platform     PU       VR     AR     Mirror Reality     IoT     AI       /     /     /     Software:     /       /     /     AR: Zappar. Android     /     /       AR: Zappar. Android     Sudio, Sketahfab,     /     /       Autocard, Google ske-tah     Adobe Photoshop;     /     /       Adobe Photoshop;     VR: Sketahfa, Krpano,     Google Sketachfa,     P       Adobe Photoshop;     VR: Sketachfa, Krpano,     Google VR     POU       F     Mirror Reality     AI     P
VR AR Mirror Reality IoT AI / / Software: / AR: Zappar. Android AR: Zappar. Android Studio, Sketahfab, Autocard, Google ske- tah Up, Adobe Photoshop; VR: Sketahfa, Krpano, Google VR Google VR PD POU F P P P P
<ul> <li>/ / Software:</li> <li>AR: Zappar. Android</li> <li>Studio, Sketahfab,</li> <li>Autocard, Google ske- tah Up,</li> <li>Adobe Photoshop;</li> <li>VR: Sketahfa, Krpano,</li> <li>Google VR</li> <li>Mirror Reality Jor AI</li> <li>Platform: Second Life</li> <li>/ P</li> </ul>
F Metaverse Devices/platform PU PEOU E R Mirror Reality IoT AI Platform: Second Life / / P
F Metaverse Devices/platform PU PEOU E R Mirror Reality IoT AI Platform: Second Life / / P P
R Mirror Reality IoT AI Platform: Second Life / / P P
Platform: Second Life / / P
A
ď

Other     Other     Field     Type of Manverse     Devices/plate     PU     PEOU     Effects       No     Author     Field     Type of Manverse     Devices/plate     PU     PEOU     Effects       1     Schort (2013)     Workshop     Minori     Iof     AI     Minori     Iof     AI       1     Schort (2013)     Workshop     Minori     Iof     AI     Minori     Iof     Effects       1     Schort (2013)     Workshop     Minori     Iof     AI     Minori     Iof     Iof       1     Schort (2013)     Workshop     Minori     Iof     Norse     PU will positively       Inscription     Workshop     Minori     Iof     AI     Putori     Putori       Inscription     Putori     ScondLife     Putori     Putori     Putori       Putori     Putori     Putori     Putori     Putori     Putori       Putori     Putori     Putori     Putori     Putori </th <th>Table 3 (cc</th> <th>ntinued)</th> <th></th>	Table 3 (cc	ntinued)										
No Autor Field Type of Meaverse VR AR Mirror Iof AI Devices/plat. PU PEOU Effects Commentation Iof AI Parton: Second Life impact belav: Second Life impact belav: Second Life impact belav: Interformed interformed in the former of the forme	Other											
VR AR Mirror IoT AI Reality A Platform: Defined and Reality A Real	No	Author	Field	Type of Metav	/erse				Devices/plat-	PU	PEOU	Effects
1     Schott (2013)     Workshop (Non-studen partici- parti- partici- partici- partici- partici- parti-				VR	AR	Mirror Reality	IoT	AI	form			
panto- pa	1	Schott (2013)	Workshop (Non-student	3					Platform: Second Life			PU will positively impact behav-
V R for future collaboration in work groups PEOU will positively impact the behavioral interior (B1) to use VR for future workgroup collaboration peould allocation peould be previous the previous of VR for future workgroup collaboration peould be previous the previous of VR for future workgroup collaboration peould be previous the previous of VR for future workgroup collaboration peould be previous the previous of VR for future workgroup collaboration peould be previous the previous the previous the previous of VR for future workgroup collaboration peould be previous the			partici- pants)									IOTAL INTERNON (BI) to use
Collaboration in work groups PEOU will posi- tively impact behavioral intention (B1) to use VR for future workgroup col- laboration PEOU positively impacts PU to use of VR for future workgroup col- laboration p												VR for future
PEOU will posi- tively impact behavioral intention (BI) to use VR for future workgroup col- laboration PEOU positively impacts PU to use of VR for future workgroup col- laboration peou posi- tively impact to use of VR for future workgroup col- laboration												collaboration in
ively impact behavioral intention (B1) to use VR for future workgroup col- laboration PEOU positively impacts PU to use of VR for future workgroup col- laboration pacet paboration paboration paboration pacet paboration paboration paboration paboration paboration paboration pacet paboration paboration pacet paboration paboration paboration paboration paboration paboration paboration paboration paboration paboration paboration paboration paboration paboration paboration						. (						PEOU will posi-
behavioral intention (B1) to use VR for future workgroup col- laboration PEOU positively impacts PU to use of VR for future workgroup col- laboration plaboration												tively impact
intention (BJ) intervention (BJ) to use VR for future workgroup col- laboration PEOU positively impacts PU to use of VR for future workgroup col- laboration per col- impacts PU to use of VR for future workgroup col- laboration per col- laboration col-												behavioral
PEOU positively impacts PU to use of VR prove the positively impacts PU to use of VR for future workgroup col- laboration prove the positively impacts PU to use of VR for future workgroup col- laboration												intention (BI)
on future workgroup col- laboration PEOU positively impacts PU to use of VR for future workgroup col- laboration						>						to use VR
PEOU positively impacts PU to use of VR for future workgroup col- laboration												101 Julure
PEOU positively impacts PU to use of VR for future workgroup col- laboration												workgroup cor- laboration
impacts PU to use of VR for future workgroup col- laboration												PEOU positively
to use of VR for future workgroup col-												impacts PU
for future workgroup col- laboration												to use of VR
workgroup col- laboration												for future
laboration												workgroup col-
												laboration
								7				

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Article No : 273

or Field Type of Metaverse VR AR Mirror IoT					
VR AR Mirror IoT		Devices/plat- PU	PEOU	Effects	
Reality	AI	lorm			
C- History of Art 1 / 1 Teaching 1. 22)	2001	Hardware: / Roundshot VR robot in conjunc- tion with a Canon EOS 6D camera Software: Android Sudio, St	~	Students showed similar results to PU and PEOU in terms of using VR and AR	

					പപ
		Effects		Students' PU and PEOU were different when collaborating across differen X device types. (PC-VR combined with Hole Liens 2 is the most appropriate multi-user	The effect of PU and PEOU on the VR trainin experience demonstrates the importance of developing an under- standing of operations in proposed tool
		PEOU		~	~
		Devices/plat- PU	IOTI	Devices: / PC-VR, Ocu- lus Quest/ Vive Focus, cardboard VR, Holo- Lens 2, smart- phore- based AR	Platform: / CAVE-VR Software: Unity 3D, Fire Dynamics Simulator, and a script
			AI		2001
			IoT		P.
			Mirror Reality	SE	
		Metaverse	AR		
		Type of	VR		~
		Field		Mechanical Engineer- ing	School Fire Prepar- edness Training (Elementary Students)
ontinued)		Author		Tümler, et al. (2022)	Mystakidis, et al. (2022)
Table 3 (co	Other	No		m	4

Pages : 51

MS Code : 273

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Article No : 273

Table 3 (c	continued)											
Other												
No	Author	Field	Type of Meta	tverse				Devices/plat-	PU	PEOU	Effects	
			VR	AR	Mirror Reality	IoT	ΑI	form				
м м	Gim, et al. (2022)	,						Not men- tioned	~	~	PU has a posi- tive effect on perceived flow (PF) PU has a posi- tive effect on learner satisfac- tion PEOU has a posi- tive effect on perceived flow (PF) PEOU has a positive effect on learner satisfaction	Journal of Computer
												'S I

			Г
Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273
	•		

Table 3 (continued)											
Other											
No Author	Field	Type of Meta	iverse				Devices/plat-	PU	PEOU	Effects	
		VR	AR	Mirror Reality	IoT	AI	Iorm				
7 Kang, et al. (2022)	Violence Prevention Education (Elemen- tary school student)	e e				~	a chatbot (AuC)			PU is sig- nificantly positively correlated with intention to use an educational chatbot PEOU correlated significantly with intention to use	
					RR						Journal of Computers in Education

MS Code : 273

Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
-------------------------------	------------------	------------	---------------	----------------------

education has the most research, 38, two for elementary education and one each for secondary and non-student participants.

In medical and health education, four of nine research articles used the VR 379 Second Life platform. The research was conducted between 2008 and 2014. The 380 research results show that the graphics, visuals, and simulations in VR have a 381 positive impact on students' PU (Vallance et al, 2014). However, Toro-Troconis, 382 et al. (2008) and Toro-Troconis (2011) found that students in the VR group per-383 ceived the VR learning experience in medical education as less efficient and 384 effective, which affected PU. On the other hand, Huang et al. (2013) applied the 385 Virtual Body Structures-Auxiliary Teaching System (VBS-ATS) in desktop VR 386 and projection-based VR and found that the immersion and imagination features 387 of VR had a positive impact on PU. Meanwhile, Al-Hiyari (2021) used Autodesk 388 Mava and Unity Asset software to create VR first aid. Students use VR Oculus 389 Quest devices to learn first aid. It was found that the outcome of PU can pre-390 dict students' intention to use similar or other VR-based training applications for 391 learning and training. Although the research by Almarzouqi et al. (2022), Ala-392 wadhi, et al. (2022), and Alfaisal et al. (2022) did not specify the VR platform, 393 software, and device usage, their results show that higher PU leads to higher 394 metaverse adoption (Almarzouqi et al., 2022) and influences intention to adopt 395 and use the technology (Alfaisal, et al., 2022; Almarzouqi et al., 2022). Alawadhi 396 et al. (2022) found in their research that PU influences personal innovativeness 397 (PI), is statistically related to perceived enjoyment (EJ), and significantly influ-398 ences students' propensity to use Metaverse. 399

Pre-service and in-service teachers' perceptions of the acceptability of using 400 metaverse in education play a critical role. There are eleven research articles on 401 this topic, three of which address pre-service teachers' perceptions, seven of which 402 address in-service teachers' perceptions, and one of which addresses pre-service and 403 in-service teachers' perceptions. Camilleri (2014) used the VR platform AvavaLive 404 Engage to examine how immersion in virtual environments can help change percep-405 tions, enhance the experience, and overcome fears associated with the introduction 406 of technology into the classroom. The study found that PU was not subject to sig-407 nificant change before and after the VR experience. The same result holds true for 408 Gómez-García et al.'s (2021) study of elementary teacher education, which found no 409 significant differences in students between using AR and without using AR. How-410 ever, Mikropoulos et al. (2022) applied a group of marker-based AR tools: ARIS, 411 Zapworks, Blippar Roar, and 3DQR to create Mobile AR (MAR), where PU posi-412 tively influenced prospective teachers' intention to use MAR. 413

On the other hand, there are a total of seven studies on pre-service teachers' per-414 ceptions, five of which use AR, one of which uses VR, and one of which uses both 415 AR and VR. Ibili et al. (2019) created an Augmented Reality Geometry Tutorial 416 System (ARGTS) by using a combination of software such as Vuforia SDK, Uni-417 ty3D, 3D Studio Max, and image editing software. They discovered that PU had a 418 direct effect on attitude (Att), and PU was also a key predictor of satisfaction (SF). 419 Koutromanos and Mikropoulos (2021) proposed a mobile augmented reality accept-420 ance model to investigate teachers' perceptions. They found that PU is an impor-421 tant predictor of usage intention and attitude. The same results were obtained in 422

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Artic	le No : 273 Pag	ages : 51 M	IS Code : 273
-------------------------------------	-----------------	-------------	---------------

Pasalidou and Fachantidis' (2021) study when they introduced the BlippAR app to 423 teachers. They found that PU had a positive impact on the intention to use AR apps 424 in their classroom. The same is true for Manna (2022), who introduced a Mobile 425 Augmented Reality (MAR) computing platform to teachers in training and found 426 that PU influenced teachers' attitudes toward using MAR. Jang et al. (2021) investi-427 gated teachers' training in the applications of AR and VR and found that PU influ-428 ences attention to technology use (ATU). In addition, PU is the mediated factor for 429 the influence of PEOU on ATU. However, Liu et al. (2022) introduced the learning 430 resource authoring tool AR: WebART and found that PU had no significant influ-431 ence on the user experience of the AR authoring tool. Meanwhile, Girard (2021) 432 trained in-service language teachers to use VR software such as Spatial. Alcove, 433 Notes on Blindness, Anne Frank's House, Gravity Lab, or Ecosphere. At the same 434 time, they were provided with a VR Oculus Quest device. The research found that 435 teachers explored PU from VR in the virtual classroom. 436

In the area of general education, six studies concern higher education and one 437 concerns elementary education. Four are about VR, each is about AR and IoT, and 438 one is about both VR and mirror reality. Wimpenny et al. (2012) used the VR Sec-439 ond Life platform with college students. They found that the effect of PU was that 440 students and faculty were willing to deal with usability hurdles when the technology 441 did not meet their expectations. Alamäki et al. (2021) investigated students' percep-442 tions of using conventional video and 360° video with and without a low-cost VR 443 headset. The technical challenges and eye pain that would affect PU influenced the 444 acceptance of the technology. Akour et al. (2022) and Kim, et al. (2022) also found 445 PU to be a significant predictor of the metaverse system usage intention factor, with 446 Kim, et al. (2022) using VirBELA (V-story). The same result holds true for Almaiah 447 et al. (2022), who applied IoT to college students. Aljanada et al. (2022) also found 448 that Google Glass use was significantly correlated with PU. However, Suh and Ahn 449 (2022) investigated the use of VR and Mirror Reality (MR) with elementary stu-450 dents and found that students found VR to be entertaining, but it did not contribute 451 to PU. Meanwhile, MR contributed to PU, but was not fun. 452

Table 3 shows three research papers on foreign language teaching and learning: 453 Spanish (Lorenzo et al., 2013), Chinese (Grantet al., 2014), and English (Koç et al., 454 2022). Lorenzo et al. (2013) applied the OpenSim platform, a 3D learning environ-455 ment that includes a combination of advanced communication tools (chat, video 456 chat, or VoIP) and intelligent assistants (chatbots or NPCs). These communication 457 tools and assistants play an important role in user adoption of using the Metaverse 458 platform to learn a foreign language. Grant et al. (2014) investigated students' For-459 eign Language Anxiety (FLA) when VR Second Life was used to teach Chinese to 460 beginners. Koc et al. (2022) use Metaverse Studio to create a AR experience to help 461 students learn English writing skills. The study found that students found the AR-462 based writing experience useful in improving their writing skills, especially in help-463 ing them organize ideas while writing. 464

There are two research articles on business and architecture and one on engineering. Shen and Eder (2008, 2009) found that PU positively influences the behavioral intention (BI) to use Second Life in business education. Cantimur (2009), who also uses the Second Life platform VR to teach interior design, found that students'

Irnal : SmallExtended 40692 Article N	o : 273 Pag	es : 51 M	1S
---------------------------------------	-------------	-----------	----

Dispatch : 14-5-2023

Journal of Computers in Education

Joi

PU showed that working with VR in design classes led to more creative and suc-469 cessful design. Cabero-Almenara et al. (2021) applied the combination of VR and 470 AR, which is called mixed reality in architecture teaching. The AR software used 471 to create them are Zappar. Android Studio, Sketahfab, Autocard, Google sketah Up, 472 and Adobe Photoshop: VR is Sketahfa, Krpano, Google Sketachfa, and Google VR. 473 Mixed reality was found to have a significant impact on PU. Tümler, et al. (2022) 474 compared different VR and AR devices, such as PC-VR, Oculus Quest/Vive Focus, 475 cardboard VR, HoloLens 2, and smartphone-based AR. The different devices had 476 different effects on students' PU, and the combination of PC-VR and HoloLens 477 2 has the best multi-user setting. 478

Code : 273

There are six research papers that span a variety of educational domains that 479 involve non-student participants in collaborative practise, school fire safety training, 480 art history education, online learning, automation platform, and violence preven-481 tion education. Schott (2013) created a collaborative exercise in VR Second Life for 482 non-student participants. He found that PU positively influenced behavioral inten-483 tion (BI) to use VR for future collaboration in work groups. Mystakidis et al. (2022) 484 used Unity 3D, Fire Dynamics Simulator, and a script to create a VR application for 485 elementary school students to practise preparing for a school fire. It was found that 486 the effect of PU in VR training experience is about the importance of developing 487 an understanding of the operational procedures to use the proposed tool. Cabero-488 Almenara, et al. (2022) create AR and VR using the software AR: Zappar. Android 489 Studio, Sketchfab, Blender, GigaPan Stitch, Adobe Photoshop; VR software: Unity 490 3D, Krpano, Sketchfab, Adobe Photoshop. The students of the study showed these 491 applications related to object evaluation, which proved to be very reliable. Conse-492 quently, the students showed a positive result of PU over the use of VR and AR. 493 Gim et al. (2022) used both AR and VR in online learning, where PU had a positive 494 effect on perceived learning flow (PF) and learner satisfaction. Shyr et al. (2022) 495 used VR in an automation platform: cyber-physical integration technology, with PU 496 having a significant positive impact on attitude toward use and behavioral intention 497 to use. Kang et al. (2022) used an AI chatbot (AuC) with elementary school students 498 for violence prevention. They found that PU had a significant positive relationship 499 with intention to use an educational chatbot. 500

# 501 Does perceived ease of use in different education sectors play an important role 502 in the use of different types of Metaverse?

PEOU plays an essential role as PU in technology integration in education, and 503 similar is true for the metaverse. Research has demonstrated PEOU's role in user 504 acceptance of Metaverse use in education. Eleven studies focus on teachers, while 505 five studies examine the acceptance of Metaverse use in education among medical 506 and general education students. There are two studies in business education and one 507 study each in art history, languages, architecture, mechanical engineering, fire safety 508 education, and future workshop. Looking at the level of education, higher education 509 is the most represented with 38 investigations, one investigation is in secondary edu-510 cation and non-student participation, and two are in elementary education. 511

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
--	------------	---------------	----------------------

Table 3 shows that in medical education, five research articles show that PEOU 512 plays an important role in the use of Metaverse. Huang et al. (2013) used the 513 Virtual Body Structures-Auxiliary Teaching System (VBS-ATS), a web-based 514 interactive 3D learning system VR, designed for teaching undergraduate medi-515 cal students human physiology, specifically the organs of the body. The research-516 ers found that the immersive and imaginative features of VR had a positive 517 impact on PEOU, which contributed to students' use of BI VR learning systems. 518 Al-Hiyari (2021) compared first aid e-books and VR aid. The VR was created 519 using Autodesk Maya software and Unity asset. The research found that first aid 520 e-books have higher PEOU than Virtual Aid, and PEOU predicts users' future 521 intention to use Virtual Aid. Although there are three studies on VR without 522 specifying the software or platform used (Almarzouqi et al., 2022; Alawadhi 523 et al., 2022; Alfaisal et al., 2022), PEOU was found to have a significant impact 524 on users' intention to use Metaverse (Alawadhi et al., 2022; Alfaisal et al., 2022). 525 Almarzougi et al. (2022) found that PEOU influences students' acceptance and 526 adoption of Metaverse, and that PEOU influences personal innovativeness (PI) 527 and is statistically associated with perceived enjoyment (EJ) (Alawadhi et al., 528 2022). 529

Table 3 illustrates that there are six research papers on the training and perspec-530 tives of teachers in PEOU regarding the use of Metaverse in the classroom. There are 531 five studies on AR applications and one on VR applications. Ibili, et al. (2019) used 532 a combination of the Vuforia SDK, Unity3D, 3D Studio Max, and image editing 533 software to create an Augmented Reality Geometry Tutorial System (ARGTS). The 534 result shows that PEOU had a direct impact on PU and PEOU were an important 535 predictor of satisfaction (SF). Pasalidou and Fachantidis (2021) introduced teachers 536 in training to use the BlippAR app to design their own AR activities. Results showed 537 that PEOU had a positive impact on teachers' behavioral intention to use AR apps 538 in their classroom. Manna (2022) used the Mobile Augmented Reality computer 539 platform (MAR) with teachers in education and found that PEOU influenced teach-540 ers' attitudes toward using Mobile Augmented Reality (MAR). Liu et al. (2022) 541 used a AR learning resource provided by an authoring tool called WebART, where 542 teachers' PEOU had a positive and significant impact on their AR user experience 543 with the authoring tool. However, Koutromanos and Mikropoulos' (2021) study of 544 AR applications among in-service teachers found that PEOU was not a significant 545 predictor of user intention and attitude. Meanwhile, Girard, J. (2021) introduced 546 teachers to the use of VR software, such as Spatial, Alcove, Notes on Blindness, 547 Anne Frank's House, Gravity Lab, or Ecosphere with VR Oculus Quest 2 device. 548 The research found that teachers tend to focus on PEOU in continuous professional 549 development sessions. 550

On the other hand, there are three studies on the training and perception of preservice teachers and one on the combination of pre-service and in-service teachers. Camilleri (2014) applied AvayaLive Engage and found that PEOU did not change significantly before and after the VR experience. Mikropoulos et al. (2022) introduced the use of the marker-based AR tool ARIS, Zapworks, Blippar Roar, and 3DQR to pre-service teachers to create AR applications for instruction. They found that PEOU was not a significant predictor of attitudes and PU. Only Cheng (2021)

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Article	No : 273 Pages : 51	1 MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
---------------------------------------	---------------------	-----------------	----------------------

found that PEOU plays an important role in selecting AR and VR applications ordevices that are easy for teachers to use.

For general education, there are five research papers on students' perceptions of 560 their use of the metaverse in their general learning. Almaiah, et al. (2022) found that 561 PEOU had a significant impact on intention to use the IoT. Alianada, et al. (2022) 562 found that Google Glass use was significantly correlated with PEOU. Akour, et al. 563 (2022) found that PEOU can influence students' perceptions of using VR. However, 564 Alamäki, et al. (2021) emphasized that students' poor initial experiences with the 565 low-cost VR headsets, such as technical challenges and eye pain, influenced their 566 PEOU, which in turn affected their acceptance of the device. Kim et al. (2022) 567 also showed that PEOU negatively predicted students' intention to use VirBELA 568 (V-Story). 569

There is one study on languages, architecture, and engineering and two studies on 570 business that include PEOU in the acceptance of the use of Metaverse in teaching 571 and student learning. Lorenzo et al. (2013) applied VR OpenSim in teaching Span-572 ish as a foreign language. The PEOU of VR platforms positively and directly influ-573 ences PU and behavioral intention to use (BU) the system for language purposes. 574 Shen and Eder (2008, 2009) also found the same result as Lorenzo et al. (2013), but 575 when using the platform VR Second Life. Cabero-Almenara et al. (2021), using both 576 AR and VR software, found that mixed reality significantly affected PEOU. Tümler, 577 et al. (2022) use various VR and AR devices, such as PC-VR, Oculus Quest/Vive 578 Focus, cardboard VR, HoloLens 2 and smartphone-based AR in mechanical engi-579 neering. They discovered that students' PEOU were different when they interacted 580 with different xR device types. For example, PC-VR combined with HoloLens 2 and 581 smartphone-based AR in mechanical engineering. They found that students' PEOU 582 differed when they interacted with different xR device types. For example, PC-VR 583 combined with Hole Lens 2 is the most suitable combination for multi-user settings. 584

There are six research papers on PEOU among students in other educational 585 settings, such as workgroup collaboration, school fire safety training, art history 586 classes, automation platform, and violence prevention classes. Schott (2013) found 587 that PEOU has a positive effect on behavioral intention (BI) and PU to use VR for 588 future collaboration in work groups, especially in the Second Life platform VR. 589 Mystakidis et al (2022) created school fire preparedness training using VR software 590 such as Unity 3D, Fire Dynamics Simulator, and a script that students can access 591 through CAVE-VR. The research results on the impact of PEOU in VR facilitated 592 the students' understanding of the importance of understanding the operational pro-593 cedures to use the proposed tool. Cabero-Almenara et al. (2022) used both AR and 594 VR using hardware, e.g., a Roundshot robot VR in conjunction with a Canon EOS 595 6D camera. Using AR and VR has the same result for PEOU. Gim et al. (2022) used 596 both VR and AR in online learning, where PEOU had a positive effect on perceived 597 learning fluency (PF) and learner satisfaction. Shyr, et al. (2022) applied an automa-598 tion platform: cyber-physical integration technology, where PEOU had a significant 599 positive effect on attitudes toward using PU. Kang et al. (2022) is the only study 600 using AR chatbot (AuC) for elementary students in violence prevention. They found 601 that PEOU was significantly correlated with intention to use. 602

# 603 **Discussion**

Trends in platform, software, hardware, and device types based on the nature of the 604 metaverse in various education sectors between 2008 and 2022. From the overall 605 review, it appears that between 2008 and 2014, more research was conducted on the 606 use of TAM to assess student acceptance of the use of metaverse (VR), specifically 607 the Second Life platform, compared to other types of metaverse. The use of Sec-608 ond Life is limited only to the use of the personal computer provided by the institu-609 tion's computer lab or students themselves. In 2019 to 2022, the use of Metaverse 610 in education will be widespread and will not be limited to VR but also to AR, AI, 611 and IoT. The number of Metaverse users jumped between the years 2021 and 2022, 612 with a wider variety of software being used to create AR and VR applications for 613 teachers and for students to use in learning. This situation may be caused by certain 614 reasons. (1) more affordable prices for AR and VR devices with rapid technological 615 advances; (2) the ongoing Covid 19 pandemic, which brings an increasing demand 616 for non-face-to-face services, including teaching and learning; (3) more digital 617 natives among teachers and students; (4) the ubiquity of mobile devices and changes 618 in content types have given users the ability to access Metaverse anytime, anywhere 619 (Suh & Ahn, 2022). 620

# 621 The important role of perceived usefulness in the use of metaverse in education

Perceived usefulness (PU) plays a critical role in influencing teachers' and students' 622 use or rejection of new technologies, including the use of Metaverse in education. 623 Davis (1986) clarifies that PU refers to the extent to which a person believes that 624 the use of technology would improve his or her attitude toward technology, PU 625 plays a vital role in influencing teachers' perceptions of accepting or rejecting the 626 use of Metaverse in their teaching. In teacher education, PU was not found to sig-627 nificantly change VR experiences (Camilleri, 2014), with and without the use of 628 AR (Gómez-García et al., 2021). However, Mikropoulos et al. (2022) showed that 629 PU had a positive impact on prospective teachers' intention to use MAR. Camilleri 630 (2014) explained that the incomplete technological infrastructure of the institution 631 prevented faculty from using VR for instruction and that PU had not significantly 632 changed their experience with VR. The findings of Gómez-García et al. (2021) are 633 due to the fact that the attractiveness of AR promotes the learning of prospective 634 teachers or future students rather than its future applicability in the classroom. How-635 ever, Mikropoulos et al. (2022) found that pre-service teachers viewed PU positively 636 from MAR not because it is easy to use, but because they believe it has some advan-637 tage over other digital technologies and is fun to use. Therefore, PU plays an impor-638 tant role in predicting prospective teachers' intention to use it rather than whether it 639 is an appropriate requirement for use in their school (Mikropoulos et al., 2022). 640

PU In-service teacher training found that the introduction of AR software for
teachers to create AR applications for teaching and learning had a significant impact
on intention to use (Koutromanos & Mikropoulos, 2021; Manna, 2022; Pasalidou &
Fachantidis, 2021). The uses of AR include the BlippAR app in teaching about the

urnal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No
-----------------------------	------------

273

Jo

Pages : 51 MS Code : 273

Journal of Computers in Education

Earth and the Moon (Pasalidou & Fachantidis, 2021), the MAR computer platform 645 (Metaverse) in teaching Italian (Manna, 2022), and MAR (Koutromanos & Mik-646 ropoulos, 2021). Huang et al. (2016) confirmed that teachers consider MAR as a 647 useful educational application if they believe that the application can increase their 648 teaching effectiveness. AR Software also shows that PU has a positive effect on atti-649 tude. For example, Ibili et al. (2019) shared the Augmented Reality Geometry Tuto-650 rial System (ARGTS) with teachers and discovered that teachers' attitudes toward 651 using the system increased positively when their perceptions regarding the useful-652 ness of ARTS increased in the same way. Jang et al. (2021) found in the use of AR 653 and VR that PU has a positive influence on attention to use, as teachers with knowl-654 edge of VR and AR are more concerned about the usefulness of the applications 655 in teaching and learning. However, Liu et al. (2022) found that PU did not have a 656 significant influence on teachers' experiences with the learning resources authoring 657 tool AR. This is because most teachers already had a high level of PU in using ICT 658 tools in their daily lives. Therefore, the variable PU did not have a significant impact 659 on teachers' experiences with the AR authoring tool. 660

A similar result is also found in students PU, where PU is a significant predictor 661 of the Metaverse usage intention factor (Shen & Eder, 2008, 2009; Schott, 2013; 662 Lorenzo et al., 2013, Akour et al., 2022, Almarzougi et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2022, 663 Alfaisal et al., 2022; Almaiah et al., 2022, 2022, Shyr et al., 2022; Kang et al., 2022). 664 Metaverse uses include VR (Akour et al., 2022; Almarzougi et al., 2022), VirB-665 ELA (V-story), a VR platform (Kim et al., 2022), VR Second Life platform (Schott, 666 2013; Shen & Eder, 2008, 2009), VR OpenSim platform (Lorenzo et al., 2013), and 667 IoT (Almaiah et al., 2022). However, Alamäki, et al. (2021), who used inexpensive 668 headsets, found that students had low PU due to technical issues and eye pain when 669 using the device. In addition, Wimpenny et al. (2012) used the platform VR Second 670 Life, which showed that students' PU was low when their use of the technology did 671 not meet their expectations. 672

The result of this research can be underlined by Scherer and Teo (2019) that 673 PU influences students' intention to use new technologies such as Metaverse. For 674 students of the younger generation, especially Generation Z, the uniqueness influ-675 ences them that motivates them to adopt technological innovations (Lai, 2017; Liu 676 et al., 2009). Padilla-Meléndez and Garrido-Moreno (2013), Lin and Yeh (2019), 677 and Wang et al. (2021) also explain that the level of PU among students may vary 678 depending on technological innovation features such as Google Glass (Aljanada 679 et al., 2022) and uncertainty level. Therefore, if the use of metaverse can improve 680 their learning, students are more likely to accept the use of metaverse in education. 681 However, if the use of Metaverse cannot enhance their learning, such as technical 682 challenges and eye pain due to the low-cost VR (Alamäki et al., 2021) and hur-683 dles in usability if the VR Second Life platform does not meet their expectations 684 (Wimpenny et al., 2012). These reasons will lead students to not accept the use of 685 Metaverse in education. The technical problems of Metaverse and the time required 686 to set up the Metaverse platform may be the reasons that lead to low PU among 687 students. 688

In addition, different types of metaverse have different effects on students PU, especially in medicine. Toro-Trononis (2008) and (2011), and Vallance et al. (2014)

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Art	rticle No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
-----------------------------------	-----------------	------------	---------------	----------------------

used the VR Second Life platform to create virtual patients for medical students. 691 Although graphics, visuals, and simulations in VR have a positive impact on stu-692 dents' PU, students stated that they find interaction with virtual patients are incom-693 petent. This is because they are familiar with working on cadavers and expect a 694 natural and intuitive interaction experience. They will only occasionally access vir-695 tual patients to learn clinical assessments. The same findings were also found by 696 Vallance et al. (2014) that the use of Second Life in clinical assessment of child 697 and adolescent psychiatry only serves as a supplement and not as a substitute for 698 the real situation. Therefore, the clinical assessment of VR can only add value to 699 distance learning. However, Huang et al. (2013) came to a different research conclu-700 sion. They use the Virtual Body Structures-Auxiliary Teaching System (VBS-ATS) 701 to create a 3D simulation world that facilitates students to learn body organs effec-702 tively. Thus, the immersion function of the VR technology creates a 3D simulation 703 world that helps learning. Huang et al. (2013) further explained that the design of 704 a VR learning environment provides a reduced level of interactivity. The system 705 mainly provides interaction functions, such as rotating and zooming in/out organ 706 models, to help students learn body organs. 707

Besides that, different types of Metaverse and the devices used also have differ-708 ent effects on PU. Suh and Ahn (2022) state that different types of metaverse have 709 different effects on PU. For example, the virtual world (VW) makes students feel 710 that using the platform is fun, but it creates a low PU because it does not make 711 students feel useful. Students perceived VW as fun because they were exposed to 712 VW as a form of entertainment with games such as Roblox and Minecraft, which 713 made them perceive VW as fun. Camilleri (2014) believes that VR is not perceived 714 as useful because many technological infrastructures of educational institutions do 715 not support the requirements for using VW for teaching and learning purposes. In 716 contrast, Mirror World provides students with a high PU, as it creates a perceived 717 usefulness but fails to provide students with a sense of enjoyment. Students perceive 718 MR as functional due to the complementary social distancing during the COVID-719 19 pandemic, with MW being the central core for online learning. Thus, the use of 720 MW leads students to perceive MW as valuable to their learning, but not fun. Fur-721 thermore, Tümler et al. (2022) found that PU differs when working with different 722 xR device types, with a combination of PC-VR and Hole Lens 2 being best suited 723 for use in multi-user environments. Therefore, different metaverse devices impact 724 student learning at PU. Therefore, PU plays an important role in the use of different 725 types of metaverse in education. 726

# 727 Important role of perceived ease of use in the use of Metaverse in education

Perceived ease of use plays an important role in the use of Metaverse in education because it influences teachers' and students' use or rejection of the technology. Davis (1986) describes PEOU as the extent to which a person believes that using the technology is effortless or easy. PEOU plays a critical role in teachers' perceptions of accepting or rejecting the use of metaverses in the classroom. According to Liu et al. (2022), the higher the PEOU score, the less effort teachers need to put into

Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023

using technology at work. At the same time, teachers who perceive technology as 734 easy to use are likely to have better experiences with new technology-related envi-735 ronments such as Metaverse. However, Mikropoulos et al. (2022) and Koutromanos 736 and Mikropoulos (2021) disagree with this point. In their studies, PEOU was found 737 not to correlate with PU and Att, which can be attributed to the presence of per-738 ceived enjoyment. In other words, teachers have positive or high levels of PU not 739 because Metaverse applications are easy to use, but because they perceive them as 740 more enjoyable (Koutromanos & Mikropoulos, 2021). 741

Most researchers found that students' perception of PEOU influences their 742 acceptance or rejection of technology integration such as Metaverse (Shen & Eder, 743 2008, 2009; Schott, 2013; Lorenzo et al., 2013, Shyr et al., 2022). Therefore, accord-744 ing to Taylor and Todd (1995) and Venkatesh and Morris (2000), it is therefore 745 explained that PEOU is positively associated with PU, suggesting that students read-746 ily adopt new technological innovations when they are familiar with the technology 747 and believe that its use requires less effort. Davis (1985) emphasized that PEOU is 748 viewed as the degree of effectiveness and comfort students experience after using an 749 innovative technology such as the Metaverse. For example, Mystakidis et al. (2022) 750 created a fire safety course for schools using VR software such as Unity 3D, Fire 751 Dynamics Simulator, and a script that students can access through CAVE-VR. They 752 discovered the impact of PEOU in VR training experience facilitates students on the 753 importance of understanding the operational procedures to use the proposed tool. 754 Thus, if students feel that using Metaverse in their learning process is easy and the 755 technology facilitates their learning, they will be more likely to accept the use of the 756 technology in their learning process. 757

Different types of Metaverse in the form of platforms and devices with different 758 characteristics tend to affect teachers' and students' PEOU. Thus, if the devices and 759 platforms of the Metaverse are easy to use by teachers and students, teachers and 760 students are likely to accept the use of devices or platforms (Lorenzo et al., 2013; 761 Schott, 2013; Cheng, 2021, Kang et al., 2022; Akour et al., 2022; Almaiah et al., 762 2022). However, in the study by Kim et al. (2022), PEOU was found to negatively 763 predict intention to use the VirBELA (V-story) platform. This result is due to the 764 multiple functions of the Metaverse, such as movement instructions, verbal com-765 munication, text-based communication, and non-verbal communication such as 766 gestures, which increase students' cognitive load when using the Metaverse. This 767 causes students to be fearful of the technology, which negatively affects their inten-768 tion to use it (Kim et al., 2022). In addition, the device quality of the Metaverse has 769 another influence on PEOU. For example, in the study by Alamäki et al. (2021), 770 conventional videos were compared with, with and without a low-cost VR headset. 771 The study found that technical problems and eye pain were the main factors that 772 affected students' PEOU when they used the device for learning. In addition, the dif-773 ferent devices of the Metaverse, such as VR and AR, have different effects on PEOU 774 during learning. For example, Tümler et al. (2022) found that PC-VR and HoloLens 775 2 were more likely to be used by students than other device combinations due to 776 ease of access. 777

Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
-------------------------------	------------------	------------	---------------	----------------------

# 778 Conclusion

The use of the metaverse in education was intended to replace the limitations of 779 2D e-learning during the pandemic outbreak COVID-19. At the same time, it was 780 also the influence of Mark Zuckerberg who officially announced the renaming and 781 positioning of the Metaverse project with the name Meta. As a result, the use of 782 Metaverse in education increased dramatically in 2022. Although the number of 783 research papers on the use of Metaverse in education jumped in 2022, the integra-784 tion of Metaverse in education was introduced in 2008. Therefore, the conclusion 785 of the study relates to (1) the trend of change in Metaverse in education; (2) the 786 importance of perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use in the acceptance 787 and rejection of the use of Metaverse in education; and (3) the recommendations 788 for future research. 789

The trend changes from a single platform or software of the Metaverse to a 790 more diverse combination of software and devices in types of Metaverse in edu-791 cation. The integration of Metaverse in education began in 2008 with the applica-792 tion of the virtual reality Second Life as the main platform among researchers in 793 various educational fields. At that time, TAM was used to study the acceptance 794 of users, mostly students, to use the platform. However, other types of metaverse 795 have been introduced in the educational field, involving not only students but also 796 teachers in pre-service and in-service teachers. The types of metaverse are not 797 limited to the use of virtual reality, but also to mixing with augmented reality 798 to form mixed reality, or using either type of metaverse. The results are consist-799 ent with Hwang and Chien's (2022) definition that most existing applications of 800 metaverse are AR or VR. However, the types of Metaverse that leverage AI and 801 IoT have now emerged. The cost of the software used to create applications AR or 802 VR is passed from teachers to students, and a variety of platforms and devices are 803 used to facilitate teacher and student teaching and learning. 804

The metaverse is undergoing a trend of change and is being updated in terms 805 of software, platforms, devices, and types of metaverse. Therefore, the perceived 806 usefulness and perceived ease of use of TAM play a critical role in teachers' 807 and students' acceptance or rejection of different types of Metaverse. Therefore, 808 whether Metaverse is easy to use for learning or enhances learning is critical for 809 learners to decide whether to use or reject the technology. The same condition 810 applies to teachers: if using Metaverse is easy to access and helpful for teaching, 811 teachers are more likely to use the technology. 812

The current study only examined perceived usefulness and ease of use in edu-813 cational settings that span many domains. However, the research mainly focuses 814 on the fields of medicine, teachers in training and development, and higher educa-815 tion, while little research has been conducted in the other educational fields. For 816 future studies, it is recommended to (1) Include a broader range of educational 817 domains. A broader range of educational sectors that use Metaverse should be 818 studied in terms of how perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use influence 819 users' intentions to use. (2) The design of the Metaverse platform and devices. 820 Since the Metaverse platforms and devices also influence perceived usefulness 821

Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
-------------------------------	------------------	------------	---------------	----------------------

and perceived ease of use among their users, this should be further investigated.
These results can inform how Metaverse platforms and devices can be designed
in different educational settings. They will make it easier for students and teachers to use Metaverse in education.

826

Author contributions HWC: Methodology, Investigation, Editing, and Writing—Original Draft. ZY:
 Conceptualization and Funding acquisition.

Funding This work is supported by 2019 MOOC of Beijing Language and Culture University (MOOC201902) (Important) "Introduction to Linguistics"; "Introduction to Linguistics" of online and offline mixed courses in Beijing Language and Culture University in 2020; Special fund of Beijing Coconstruction Project-Research and reform of the "Undergraduate Teaching Reform and Innovation Project" of Beijing higher education in 2020-innovative "multilingual+" excellent talent training system (202010032003); The research project of Graduate Students of Beijing Language and Culture University "Xi Jinping: The Governance of China" (SJTS202108).

Bata availability We make sure that all data and materials support our published claims and comply withfield standards.

### 838 **Declarations**

- 839 **Conflict of interest** We have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.
- Ethical approval The study was approved by the institutional review board of Beijing Language and Cul ture University. All researchers can provide written informed consents.

# 842 References

- Akour, I. A., Al-Maroof, R. S., Alfaisal, R., & Salloum, S. A. (2022). A conceptual framework for determining metaverse adoption in higher institutions of gulf area: An empirical study using hybrid
  SEM-ANN approach. *Computers and Education*, *3*, 100052. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.
- Al-Hiyari, N. (2021). *First aid training using virtual reality: An application and user experience study*(Order No. 28863236). Degree of Master of Science in Enterprise Systems Engineering. The Princess Sumaya University of Technology. Available from ProQuest One Academic. (2682172640).
  Retrieved from https://www.proquest.com/dissertations-theses/first-aid-training-using-virtual-reali
  ty/docview/2682172640/se-2
- Alamäki, A., Dirin, A., Suomala, J., & Rhee, C. (2021). Students' experiences of 2D and 360° videos
   with or without a low-cost VR headset: An experimental study in higher education. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research, 20, 309–329.* https://doi.org/10.28945/4816
- Alawadhi, M., Alhumaid, K., Almarzooqi, S., Aljasmi, S., Aburayya, A., Salloum, S. A., & Almesmari,
   W. (2022). Factors Affecting Medical Students' Acceptance of the Metaverse System in Medical
   Training in the United Arab Emirates. *South Eastern European Journal of Public Health*. https://
   doi.org/10.11576/seejph-5759
- Alfaisal, R., Hashim, H., & Azizan, U. H. (2022). Metaverse system adoption in education: a systematic
   literature review. *Journal of Computers in Education*, 12, 1–45.
- Alfaisal, R. M., Zare, A., Alfaisal, A. M., Aljanada, R., & Abukhalil, G. W. (2022). The acceptance of
   metaverse system: A hybrid SEM-ML approach. *International Journal of Advances in Applied Computational Intelligence*, 1(1), 34–44. https://doi.org/10.54216/IJAACI.010103
- Alharbi, S., & Drew, S. (2014). Using the technology acceptance model in understanding academics'
   behavioural intention to use learning management systems. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 5(1), 143–155.

Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51
-------------------------------	------------------	------------

867	Aljanada, R., Abukhalil, G. W., Alfaisal, A. M., & Alfaisal, R. M. (2022). Adoption of Google Glass
868	technology: PLS-SEM and machine learning analysis. International Journal of Advances in
869	Applied Computational Intelligence (IJAACI), 1(1), 8–22.

- Almaiah, M. A., Alfaisal, R., Salloum, S. A., Al-Otaibi, S., Shishakly, R., Lutfi, A., ... & Al-Maroof, R. S.
  (2022). Integrating teachers' TPACK levels and students' learning motivation, technology innovativeness, and optimism in an IoT acceptance model. *Electronics*, *11*(*19*), 3197.
- Almarzouqi, A., Aburayya, A., & Salloum, S. A. (2022). Prediction of user's intention to use metaverse
  system in medical education: A hybrid SEM-ML learning approach. *IEEE Access, 10*, 43421–
  43434. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3169285
- Arcila, J. B. P. (2014). Metaversos Para el master' iberoamericano en educacion' en entornos virtuales.
  Etic@ Net. *Revista Científica Electr Onica De Educaci' on yComunicacion' En La Sociedad Del Conocimiento, 14*(2), 227–248.
- Baydas, O., & Goktas, Y. (2017). A model for pre-service teachers' intentions to use ICT in future lessons. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 25, 930–945.
- Bruun, A., & Stentoft, M. L. (2019). Lifelogging in the wild: Participant experiences of using lifelogging
  as a research tool. In IFIP Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (pp. 431–451). Springer,
  Cham.
- Cabero-Almenara, J., Barroso-Osuna, J., & Martinez-Roig, R. (2021). Mixed, augmented and virtual
   reality applied to the teaching of mathematics for architects. *Applied Sciences*, 11(15), 7125.
- Cabero-Almenara, J., Llorente-Cejudo, C., & Martinez-Roig, R. (2022). The use of mixed, augmented
   and virtual reality in history of art teaching: A case study. *Applied System Innovation*, 5(3), 44.
   https://doi.org/10.3390/asi5030044
- Camilleri, V. (2014). Virtual Worlds in Pre-Service Teacher Training: Classroom Technology Acceptance
   and Behavioural Change. Degree of Doctor of Philosophy. Coventry Universit. https://pure.coven
   try.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/41531657/Camilleri2014\_PhD.pdf
- Cantimur, İ. (2009). Using second life as a design environment in interior architectural design education
   (Order No. 29049339). the Degree of Master of Fine Arts. The Department of Interior Architecture
   and Environmental Design and the Institute of Economics and Social Sciences of Bđlkent University. Available from ProQuest One Academic. (2665128262). Retrieved from https://www.proqu
   est.com/dissertations-theses/using-second-life-as-design-environment-interior/docview/26651
   28262/se-2
- Chayka, K. (2021). Facebook wants us to live in the Metaverse. Accessed from: https://www.newyorker.
   com/culture/infinite-scroll/facebook-wants-us-to-live-in-the-metaverse
- 900 Cheng, H. J. (2021). Integrating augmented reality and virtual reality technology into Chinese education:
   901 An observation from nine cases. In Y. J. Lan & S. Grant (Eds.), *Contextual language learning:* 902 *Chinese language learning sciences.* Singapore: Springer.
- 903 Choi, H., & Kim, S. (2017). A research on metaverse content for history education. *Global Cultural Con-* 904 *tents*, 26, 209–226.
- Davis, F. D. (1985). A Technology Acceptance Model for Empirically Testing New End-User Informa tion Systems: Theory and Results. Massachusetts Institute of Technology. http://hdl.handle.net/
   1721.1/15192
- Davis, F. D. (1986). A technology acceptance model for empirically testing new end-user information sys tems: Theory and results (Doctoral dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology). Retrieved
   from http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/15192
- 911Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information tech-912nology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319–340.
- Davis, F. D. (2011). Foreword in technology acceptance in education: Research and issues. Sense
   Publishers.
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: A comparison of two theoretical models. *Management Science*, 35(8), 982–1003.
- del Barrio-García, S., Arquero, J. L., & Romero-Frías, E. (2015). Personal learning environments accept ance model: The role of need for cognition, e-learning satisfaction and students' perceptions. *Edu- cational Technology & Society, 18*(3), 129–141.
- 920Dhawan, S. (2020). Online learning: A panacea in the time of COVID-19 crisis. Journal of Educational921Technology Systems, 49(1), 5–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239520934018
- Díaz, J. E. M., Saldaña, C. A. M., & Avila, C. A. R. (2020). Virtual world as a resource for hybrid edu cation [Article]. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 15(15), 94–109.
   https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i15.13025

Deringer

Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023

925	Duan, H., Li, J. Fan, S., Lin, Z., Wu, X. & Cai, W. (2021). Metaverse for social good: A university cam-
926	pus prototype. Proceeding of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia. pp 153–161.
927	Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Baabdullah, A. M., Ribeiro-Navarrete, S., Giannakis, M., Al-Debei, M. M.,
928	& Wamba, S. F. (2022). Metaverse beyond the hype: Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging
020	challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. International Journal of
929	Information Management 66 102542
930	Fung K E (2022) Mineraft in Januage teacher education: Accentance and integration in practice
931	(Order No. 29993920) Degree of Doctor of Philosophy Department of Language Literacy Ed D
932	Eventional Education and Physical Education College of Education University of South Florida
933	Available from ProQuest One Academic (7747932881) Retrieved from https://www.proquest
934	com/dissertations-theses/minecraft-language-teacher-education-accentance/docview/7747932881/
935	se-2
936	Sent E (2022) Lessons from a second life before meta philip rosedale created an online universe
937	<i>EFE Spactrum</i> 50(1) 10-10
938	Gim G. Ras H. & Kang S. (2022) Matavarsa Lagraing: The Palationship among Quality of VP Based
939	Education Salf Datarmination and Lagrang Satisfaction In 2022 IEEE/ACIS 7th International
940	Education, Sen-Determination, and Learner Satisfaction. In 2022 IEEE/ACIS /m International Conference on PigeDate (Computing and Date Science (PCD) (pp. 270–284) IEEE
941	Complete to a big Data, Cloud Completing, and Data Science (DCD) (pp. 27–264). IEEE.
942	Gradd, J. (2021). Reday, reacher one (Order No. 29082863). Master of Arts in Education. Faculty of
943	Education University of Ontario Institute of Technology (Ontario Tech University). Canada:
944	Ontario. Available from ProQuest One Academic. (2040)18508). Retrieved from https://www.
945	proquest.com/dissertations-theses/ready-teacher-one/docview/2640518368/se-2
946	Gomez-Garcia, G., Hinojo-Lucena, FJ., Alonso-Garcia, S., & Komero-Rodriguez, JM. (2021). Mobile
947	learning in pre-service teacher education: perceived useruness of Ak technology in primary edu-
948	cation. Education Sciences, 11(6), 275. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11060275
949	Granic, A., & Marangunic, N. (2019). Technology acceptance model in educational context: A system-
950	atic interature review. British Journal of Educational Technology, 50, 1–40. https://doi.org/10.1111/
951	bjet.12864
952	Grant, S., Huang, H., & Pasheld-Neofitou, S. (2014). The authenticity-anxiety paradox: The quest for
953	authentic second language communication and reduced foreign language anxiety in virtual envi-
954	ronments. Procedia Technology, 13, 23–32.
955	Hedrick, E., Harper, M., Oliver, E., & Hatch, D. (2022). Teaching & learning in virtual reality: Metaverse
956	classroom exploration. Intermountain Engineering Technology and Computing (TETC), 13, 1–5.
957	https://doi.org/10.1109/1E1C549/3.2022.9/96765
958	Holden, R.J., & Karsh, B.I. (2010). The Technology Acceptance Model: Its past and its future in health
959	care. Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 43(1), 159–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2009.07.002
960	Hrastinski, S. (2008). Asynchronous and synchronous e-learning. Educause Quarterly, 31(4), 51–55.
961	Retrieved from http://elearning.nt.hcmup.edu.vn/~longld/Reterences%20for%20feachingMetho
962	d&EduTechnology%20-%20Tat%20Ieu%20PDH%20&%20Cong%20Nghe%20Day%20Hoc/
963	(Book)%20-%20Sach%20tham%20khao%20-%20eLearning/e-Learning%20Concepts/Asynchrono
964	us%20&%20Synchronous%20e-Learning%20(Hrastinski-2008).pdf
965	Huang, HM., Liaw, SS., & Lai, CM. (2013). Exploring learner acceptance of the use of virtual reality
966	in medical education: A case study of desktop and projection-based display systems. Interactive
967	Learning Environments, 24(1), 3–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2013.81743
968	Huang, Y., Li, H., & Fong, R. (2016). Using augmented reality in early art education: A case study in
969	Hong Kong kindergarten. Early Child Development and Care, 186(6), 879–894.
970	Hwang, G. J., & Chien, S. Y. (2022). Definition, roles, and potential research issues of the metaverse in
971	education: An artificial intelligence perspective. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence,
972	<i>3</i> , 100082. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100082
973	Ibili, E., Resnyansky, D., & Billinghurst, M. (2019). Applying the technology acceptance model to under-
974	stand maths teachers' perceptions towards an augmented reality tutoring system. Education and
975	Information Technologies, 24, 2653–2675. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-019-09925-z
976	Ibrahim, R., Leng, N. S., Yusoff, R. C. M., Samy, G. N., Masrom, S., & Rizman, Z. I. (2017). E-learning
977	acceptance based on technology acceptance model (TAM). Journal of Fundamental & Applied Sci-
978	ences, 9, 871–889.
979	Jang, J., Ko, Y., Shin, W. S., & Han, I. (2021). Augmented reality and virtual reality for learning: An
980	examination using an extended technology acceptance model. <i>IEEE Access</i> , 9, 6798–6809.

Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023

- Jeon, J. E. (2021). The effects of user experience-based design innovativeness on user-metaverse platform
   channel relationships in South Korea. *Journal of Distribution Science*, *19*(11), 81–90. https://doi.
   org/10.15722/JDS.19.11.202111.81
- Kang, K. A., Kim, S. J., Kang, S. R., Kang, K. A., Kim, S. J., & Kang, S. R. (2022). Elementary school students' awareness of the use of artificial intelligence chatbots in violence preventioneducation in South Korea: A descriptive study. *Child Health Nursing Research*, 28(4), 291–298. https://doi.org/ 10.4094/chnr.2022.28.4.291
- Kim, K., Yang, E., & Ryu, J. (2022). Work-in-progress—The Effect of Students' Perceptions on Intention to use Metaverse Learning Environment in Higher Education. In 2022 8th International Conference of the Immersive Learning Research Network (iLRN) (pp. 1–3). IEEE.
- Kluge, S., & Riley, L. (2008). Teaching in virtual worlds: Opportunities and challenges. *Issues in Inform- ing Science and Information Technology*, 5, 127–135.
- Koç, Ö., Altun, E., & Yüksel, H. G. (2022). Writing an expository text using augmented reality: Students' performance and perceptions. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27, 845–866. https://doi.
   org/10.1007/s10639-021-10438-x
- Koutromanos, G., & Mikropoulos, T. A. (2021). Mobile augmented reality applications in teaching: A
   proposed technology acceptance model. In 2021 7th International Conference of the Immersive
   *Learning Research Network (iLRN)* (pp. 1–8). IEEE.
- 999Lai, P. C. (2017). The literature review of technology adoption models and theories for the novelty tech-<br/>nology. Journal of Information Systems and Technology Management, 14(1), 21–38.
- Landry, B. J. L., Griffeth, R., & Hartman, S. (2006). Measuring student perceptions of blackboard using
   the technology acceptance model. *Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education*, *4*, 87–99.
- Lee, L-H., Braud, T., Zhou, P., Wang, L., Xu, D., Lin, Z., Kumar, A., Bermejo, C., & Hui, P. (2021). All
   one needs to know about Metaverse: A complete survey on technological singularity, virtual eco system, and research agenda. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.11200.05124/8
- Lin, P., & Yeh, S. (2019). How motion-control influences a VR-supported technology for mental rotation learning: From the perspectives of playfulness, gender difference and technology acceptance model. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.
   2019.1571784
- Liu, E., Cai, S., Liu, Z., & Liu, C. (2022). WebART: Web-based augmented reality learning resources
   authoring tool and its user experience study among teachers. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*.
- Liu, S., Liao, H., & Pratt, J. A. (2009). Impact of media richness and flow on e-learning technology acceptance. *Computer & Education*, *52*, 599–607.
- Lopes, L.L., & Gonçalves, V. (2021). Evaluation of the Augmented Reality Educational Application for
   the 2nd cycle of primary school. 2021 16th Iberian Conference on Information Systems and Tech nologies (CISTI), 1–6.
- Lorenzo, C. M., Lezcano, L., & Sánchez-Alonso, S. (2013). Language learning in educational virtual
   worlds-a TAM based assessment. *Journal of Universal Computer Science*, 19(11), 1615–1637.
- Ludlow, P., & Wallace, M. (2007). *The Second Life Herald: The virtual tabloid that witnessed the dawn* of the metaverse. MIT Press.
- Luik, P., & Taimalu, M. (2021). Predicting the intention to use technology in education among student teachers: a path analysis. *Education Sciences*, 11(9), 564. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11090564
- Ma, Y. J., Gam, H. J., & Banning, J. (2017). Perceived ease of use and usefulness of sustainability labels
   on apparel products: Application of the technology acceptance model. *Fashion and Textiles*, *4*, 3.
   https://doi.org/10.1186/s40691-017-0093-1
- 1027Manna, M. (2022). Teacher perceptions on implementing mobile augmented reality for the teaching of1028italian as a foreign language: a pilot study. Studi Di Glottodidattica, 7(1), 36–46.
- Manzoor, A. (2019). Pedagogical Potential of Virtual Worlds: Challenges and Opportunities. In Manage ment Association (Eds.), Multigenerational Online Behavior and Media Use: Concepts, Method ologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1687–1702). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225 7909-0.ch089
- Marquez, I. V. (2011). Metaversos y educacion: Second Life como plataforma educativa. *Revista* 1034 *ICONO14 Revista Científica De Comunicaci on y Tecnologías Emergentes*, 9(2), 151–166.
- Mikropoulos, T. A., Delimitros, M., & Koutromanos, G. (2022). Investigating the mobile augmented
   reality acceptance model with pre-service teachers. In 2022 8th International Conference of the
   Immersive Learning Research Network (iLRN) (pp. 1–8). IEEE.

Journal : SmallExtended 40692	Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
-------------------------------	------------------	------------	---------------	----------------------

1038	Moses, P., Wong, S. L., Bakar, K. A., & Mahmud, R. (2013). Perceived usefulness and perceived ease of
1039	use: Antecedents of attitude towards laptop use among science and mathematics teachers in Malay-
1040	sia. Asia Pacific Education Researcher, 22(3), 293–299.

- 1041
   Mystakidis, S. (2022). Metaverse. Encyclopedia, 2, 486–497. https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia201

   1042
   0031
- Mystakidis, S., Besharat, J., Papantzikos, G., Christopoulos, A., Stylios, C., Agorgianitis, S., & Tselentis,
   D. (2022). Design, development, and evaluation of a virtual reality serious game for school fire
   preparedness training. *Education Sciences*, *12*(4), 281. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12040281
- Ning, H., Wang, H., Lin, Y., Wang, W., Dhelim, S., Farha, F., Ding, J., & Daneshmand, M. (2021). A
   Survey on Metaverse: the State-of-the-art, Technologies, Applications, and Challenges. *ArXiv*, *abs/2111.09673*.
- Padilla-Meléndez, A., Obra, A. R., & Garrido-Moreno, A. (2013). Perceived playfulness, gender differences and technology acceptance model in a blended learning scenario. *Computer & Education*,
  63, 306–317.
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L.,
  Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson,
  A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., McGuinness, L. A., ...
  Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic
  reviews. BMJ (Clinical research ed.), Doi: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71
- Pasalidou, C., & Fachantidis, N. (2021). Teachers' perceptions towards the use of mobile augmented real ity: The case of Greek educators. In *Internet of Things, Infrastructures and Mobile Applications: Proceedings of the 13th IMCL Conference 13* (pp. 1039–1050). Springer International Publishing.
- Papagiannidis, S., & Bourlakis, M. A. (2010). Staging the new retail drama: At a Metaverse near you!
   Journal of Virtual Worlds Research, 2(5), 425–446. https://doi.org/10.4101/jvwr.v2i5.808
- Pavlou, P. A. (2003). Consumer acceptance of electronic commerce: Integrating trust and risk with the
   technology acceptance model. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 7(3), 101–134.
- Pimentel, D., Fauville, G., Frazier, K., McGivney, E., Rosas, S., & Woolsey, E. (2022). An Introduction to
   *Learning in the Metaverse*. Meridian Treehouse.
- Sánchez Prieto, J. C., Olmos Migueláñez, S., & García-Peñalvo, F. J. (2016). Informal toolsin formal
   contexts: Development of a model to assess the acceptance of mobile technologies among teachers.
   *Computers in Human Behavior, 55A*, 519–528.
- Sánchez, R. A., & Hueros, A. D. (2010). Motivational factors that influence the acceptance of Moodle
   using TAM. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 26(6), 1632–1640.
- Scherer, R., & Teo, T. (2019). Unpacking teachers' intentions to integrate technology: A meta-analysis.
   *Educational Research Review*, 27, 90–109.
- Schott, T. R. (2013). Adopting workgroup collaboration tools in 3D virtual worlds (Order No. 3629005).
   Degree of Doctor of Science. Graduate Faculty of the School of Information Systems and Communications. Robert Morris University. Available from ProQuest One Academic; Publicly Available
   Content Database. (1561161201). Retrieved from https://www.proquest.com/dissertations-theses/
   adopting-workgroup-collaboration-tools-3d-virtual/docview/1561161201/se-2
- Shachak, A., Kuziemsky, C., & Petersen, C. (2019). Beyond TAM and UTAUT: Future directions for
   HIT implementation research. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, *100*, 103315. https://doi.org/10.
   1016/j.jbi.2019.103315
- 1081Shen, J. and Eder, L. (2008). Intentions to Use Virtual Worlds: An Exploratory Study. Americas Confer-1082ence on Information Systems (AMCIS). 140. https://aisel.aisnet.org/amcis2008/140
- Shen, J., & Eder, L. B. (2009). Intentions to use virtual worlds for education. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 20(2), 225.
- Shin, D. H., & Kim, W. Y. (2008). Applying the technology acceptance model and flow theory to
  Cyworld user behaviour: Implication of the web2.user acceptance. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, *11*(3), 378–382.
- Shyr, W. J., Ho, C. M., Juan, H. C., & Liau, H. M. (2022). Students' acceptance of applying cyber–physical integration technique in an automation platform. *Sustainability*, *14*(8), 4841. https://doi.org/10.
  3390/su14084841
- Sprenger, D. A., & Schwaninger, A. (2021). Technology acceptance of four digital learning technolo gies (classroom response system, classroom chat, e-lectures, and mobile virtual reality) after three
   months' usage. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 18*, 8.
   https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00243-4
- 1095 Stephenson, N. (2000). Snow Crash. Penguin.

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
--	------------	---------------	----------------------

1096	Stöhr, C., Demazière, C., & Adawi, T. (2020). The polarizing effect of the online flipped classroom
1097	Computer Education, 147, 103789.

- Suh, W., & Ahn, S. (2022). Utilizing the Metaverse for learner-centered constructivist education in the 1098 post-pandemic era: An analysis of elementary school students. Journal of Intelligence. https://doi. 1099 org/10.3390/jintelligence10010017 1100
- Tan, G. W. H., Ooi, K. B., Sim, J. J., & Phusavat, K. (2012). Determinants of mobile learning adoption: 1101 An empirical analysis. The Journal of Computer Information Systems, 52(3), 82–91. 1102
- Taylor, S., & Todd, P. A. (1995). Understanding information technology usage: A test of competing mod-1103 els. Information Systems Research, 6(2), 144–176. https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.6.2.144 1104
- Tella, A., & Olasina, G. (2014). Predicting users' continuance intention toward e-payment system: An 1105 extension of the technology acceptance model. International Journal of Information Systems and 1106 Social Change (IJISSC), 5(1), 47-67. 1107
- Tlili, A., Huang, R., Shehata, B., Liu, D., Zhao, J., Metwally, A., Wang, H., Denden, M., Bozkurt, A., 1108 Lee, L.-H., Beyoglu, D., Altinay, F., Sharma, R., Altinay, Z., Li, Z., Liu, J., Ahmad, F.-F., Ying, 1109 H., Salha, S., & Burgos, D. (2022). Is Metaverse in education a blessing or a curse: a combined 1110 content and bibliometric analysis? Smart Learning Environments, 9(1), 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1111 s40561-022-00205-x 1112
- Toro-Troconis, M. (2011). Game-Based Learning for Virtual Patients in Second Life®. Doctoral thesis. 1113 Department of Human Work Sciences Section of Gender, Technology and the Work Luleå Univer-1114 sity of Technology. Sweden: Luleå. Retrieved from: https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2: 1115 999020/FULLTEXT01.pdf 1116
- Toro-Troconis, M., Mellstrom, U., Partridge, M., Meeran, K., Barrett, M., & Higham, J. (2008). Design-1117 ing game-based learning activities for virtual patients in Second Life. Journal of CyberTherapy 1118 and Rehabilitation, 1(3), 225-239. 1119
- Tümler, J., Toprak, A., & Yan, B. (2022). Multi-user multi-platform xR collaboration: system and evalu-1120 ation. Lecture Notes in Computer ScienceIn J. Y. C. Chen & G. Fragomeni (Eds.), Virtual, aug-1121 mented and mixed reality: Design and development: HCII 2022. Cham: Springer. 1122
- Vallance, A. K., Hemani, A., Fernandez, V., Livingstone, D., McCusker, K., & Toro-Troconis, M. (2014). 1123 Using virtual worlds for role-play simulation in child and adolescent psychiatry: An evaluation 1124 study. The Psychiatric Bulletin, 38(5), 204-210. 1125
- van der Stappen, A., Liu, Y., Xu, J., Yu, X., Li, J., & Van Der Spek, E. D. (2019). MathBuilder: A col-1126 laborative AR math game for elementary school students. In CHI PLAY 2019 - Extended Abstracts 1127 of the Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play (pp. 731-738). Association for 1128 Computing Machinery, Inc. https://doi.org/10.1145/3341215.3356295 1129
- Vazquez-Cano, E., & Sevillano-García, M. L. (2017). Lugares y espacios para el uso.educativo y ubicuo 1130 de los dispositivos digitales moviles' en la Educacion' Superior. Edutec. Revista Electr' onica De 1131 Tecnología Educativa, 62, 48–61. 1132
- Venkatesh, V., & Morris, M.G. (2000). Why Don't Men Ever Stop to Ask for Directions? Gender, Social 1133 Influence, and Their Role in Technology Acceptance and Usage Behavior. WGSRN: Gender 1134 Equality. 1135
- Wang, S., Tlili, A., Zhu, L., & Yang, J. (2021). Do playfulness and university support facilitate the adop-1136 tion of online education in a crisis? COVID-19 as a case study based on the technology acceptance 1137 model. Sustainability, 13(16), 9104. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13169104 1138
- Wangid, M. N., Rudyanto, H. E., & Gunartati, G. (2020). The use of AR-assisted storybook to reduce 1139 mathematical anxiety on elementary school students. International Journal of Interactive Mobile 1140 Technologies (iJIM), 14(06), 195-204. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v14i06.12285 1141
- Wimpenny, K., Savin-Baden, M., Mawer, M., Steils, N., & Tombs, G. (2012). Unpacking frames of refer-1142 ence to inform the design of virtual world learning in higher education. Australasian Journal of 1143 Educational Technology. https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.848 1144
- Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps 1145 and institutional affiliations. 1146

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under 1147 a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted 1148 manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and 1149

applicable law. 1150

Journal : SmallExtended 40692 Article No : 273	Pages : 51	MS Code : 273	Dispatch : 14-5-2023
--	------------	---------------	----------------------

Hui Wen Chua, Ph.D. in Foreign Linguistics and Applied Linguistics, was a graduate in Faculty of Foreign Studies, Beijing Language and Culture University until January 2023. She hold a Master of Education degree in Chinese Language Education. She has been teaching Mandarin as a foreign language at the
Faculty of Language Studies and Human Development, University Malaysia Kelantan, a public university in Malaysia, for more than eight years. Her research interests include teaching pedagogy, educational
technologies, and language acquisition.

Zhonggen Yu is a Professor (distinguished) and Ph.D. Supervisor in Department of English Studies, 1157 Faculty of Foreign Studies, Beijing Language and Culture University, Ph.D. in English language, a dual 1158 Master-degree holder in applied linguistics and law, and a post-doctoral researcher in psycho-linguistics, 1159 he has already published over 120 academic papers in distinguished journals based on rich teaching and 1160 research experiences. He is Editor in Chief of International Journal of Technology-Enhanced Education 1161 Academic Editor of Education Research International, Reviewer Editor of Frontier in Psychology, and 1162 Editorial Board Members of both Languages and Education Sciences. His research interest includes edu-1163 cational technologies, language attrition, and language acquisition. 1164